Software Assurance:

A Strategic Initiative of the U.S. Department of Homeland Security to Promote Integrity, Security, and Reliability in Software



InfoSec/Privacy Considerations for Software in Advancing National Strategy to Secure Cyberspace

March 21, 2005



Homeland Security Joe Jarzombek, PMP Director for Software Assurance National Cyber Security Division US Department of Homeland Security

National Strategy for Homeland Security

"We will lead the unified national effort to secure America. We will prevent and deter terrorist attacks and protect against and respond to threats and hazards to the nation. We will ensure safe and secure borders, welcome lawful immigrants and visitors, and promote the freeflow of commerce."

Key Objective I	Key Objective II	Key Objective III
Prevent terrorist attacks within the United States	Reduce America's vulnerability to terrorism	Minimize the damage and recover from attacks that do occur

Authorization: Homeland Security Act of 2002 at Title 6, U.S. Code



Cyberspace & physical space are increasingly intertwined and software controlled/enabled

- Chemical Industry
- 66,000 chemical plants
- Banking and Finance
- 26,600 FDIC institutions
- Agriculture and Food
 - 1.9M farms
- 87,000 food processing plants
- Water
- 1,800 federal reservoirs
- 1,600 treatment plants
- Public Health
- 5,800 registered hospitals
- Postal and Shipping
- 137M delivery sites







- ► Transportation
- 120,000 miles of railroad
- 590,000 highway bridges
- 2M miles of pipeline
- 300 ports
- ► Telecomm
 - 2B miles of cable
- Energy
 - 2,800 power plants
 - 300K production sites
- Key Assets
- 104 nuclear power plants
- 80K dams
- 5,800 historic buildings
- 3,000 government facilities
- commercial facilities / 460 skyscrapers



Homeland Security

An Asymmetric Target-rich Environment









Cyberspace & physical space are increasingly intertwined and software controlled/enabled





Need for secure software applications

Cyber-related Disruptions and the Economy

Network disruptions lead to loss of:

- Money
- Time
- Products
- Reputation
- Sensitive information
- Potential loss of life through cascading effects on critical systems and infrastructure





Impact of Spyware not fully known

Government plays key cyber security roles







DHS and the National Cyber Security Division



National Strategy to Secure Cyberspace

- Outlines a framework for organizing and prioritizing efforts
- Provides direction to federal government departments and agencies
- Identifies steps to improve our collective cyber security
- Highlights role of public-private engagement
- Outlines Strategic Objectives

•	
Prevent cyber attacks	
against America's	
critical infrastructures	

2

Reduce national vulnerability to cyber attacks

3

Minimize damage and recovery time from cyber attacks that do occur



Cyber Preparedness

The National Cyber Security Division (NCSD) mission is to work collaboratively with public, private, and international entities to secure cyberspace and America's cyber assets.

Mission components include:

- Implementation of the National Strategy to Secure Cyberspace and Homeland Security Presidential Directive #7 (HSPD#7)
- Implementation of priority protective measures to secure cyberspace and to reduce the cyber vulnerabilities of America's critical infrastructures

Overarching Priorities:

- National Cyber Security Response System
- Cyber Risk Management



National Cyber Security Division (NCSD) goals are strategically aligned to four frameworks

	Mandates						
	I. National Cyberspace Security Response System		1. Es Sy				
National	II. National Cyberspace Threat and Vulnerability Reduction Program		2. W				
Strategy to Secure	III. Nation Cyberspace Security Awareness and Training Program		vu cyl 3. Pr				
Cyberspace	IV. Securing Governments Cyberspace		pro bu ge				
	V. International Cyberspace Security Cooperation		90 of 4 Fc				
HSPD-7	"maintain an organization to serve as a focal point for the security of cyberspace"		pro				
NIPP Provides a consistent, unifying structure for integrating the current multitude of CIP efforts into a single national program			5. Co en reo				
NRP "Cyber Annex"Describes framework for Federal cyber incident response coordination among Federal departments and agencies			6. Bu ag mi				
SETANTMEN T	1 1		an				

Securi

NCSD GOALS

	 Establish a National Cyber Security Response System to prevent, detect, respond to, and reconstitute rapidly after cyber incidents.
	 Work with public and private sectors to reduce vulnerabilities and minimize the severity of cyber attacks.
1	3. Promote a comprehensive national awareness program to empower all Americans – businesses, the general workforce, and the general population – to secure their own parts of cyberspace.
	 Foster adequate training and education programs to support the Nation's cyber security needs.
	 Coordinate with the intelligence and law enforcement communities to identify and reduce threats to cyberspace.
	6. Build a world-class organization that

10

HSPD-7: A national policy to protect our nation's infrastructure

- Maintain an organization to serve as a focal point for the security of cyberspace
- Facilitate interactions and collaborations between and among federal departments and agencies, state and local governments, the private sector, academia, and international organizations
- Execute a mission including analysis, warning, information sharing, vulnerability reduction, mitigation, and aiding national recovery efforts for critical information systems



The NIPP outlines a unifying structure

- Allows all levels of government to collaborate with the appropriate private sector entities
- Encourages the development of information sharing and analysis mechanisms and continues to support existing sector-coordinating mechanisms
- Broken down into 17 sector-specific plans to cover all areas of critical infrastructure, including the Information Technology (IT) sector

NIPP Risk Management Framework

Dynamic Threat Environment



NRP Cyber Annex describes the framework for response coordination

National Cyber Response Coordination Group





DHS National Cyber Security Division (NCSD) provides the framework for addressing cyber security and software assurance challenges







Software Assurance is a NCSD Strategic Initiative

DHS NCSD Priorities: National Cyber Security Response System

- Watch and Warning
 - Situational awareness
 - 24/7 operations
- Analysis
 - Malicious code
 - Risk analysis
 - LE/Intel
- Response
 - Incident management
- Recovery
 - NRP Cyber Annex
 - ESF-2
 - Regional preparedness



Homeland Security

DHS NCSD Priorities: Cyber Risk Management

- The National Infrastructure Protection Plan (NIPP)
 - Internet Disruption
 - Control Systems
- Outreach and Awareness
- Exercises
 - Regional & International Tabletop exercises
 - TOPOFF and Cyber Storm
 - Future Internet Disruption exercise
- Long Term Planning and Improvement
 - Research and Development
 - Training and Education
 - Standards and Best Practices
- Software Assurance



Homeland Security





×

Needs in IT/Software Assurance

- Software and IT vulnerabilities jeopardize infrastructure operations, business operations & services, intellectual property, and consumer trust
- Adversaries have capabilities to subvert the IT/software supply chain:
 - Government and businesses rely on COTS products and commercial developers using foreign and non-vetted domestic suppliers to meet majority of IT requirements
 - □ Software & IT lifecycle processes offer opportunities to insert malicious code and to poorly design and build software which enables future exploitation
 - Off-shoring magnifies risks and creates new threats to national security, business property and processes, and individuals' privacy; requires domestic strategies to mitigate them

Growing concern about inadequacies of suppliers' capabilities to build/deliver secure IT/software – too few practitioners with requisite knowledge and skills

- □ Current education & training provides too few practitioners with requisite competencies in secure software engineering enrollment down in critical IT and software-related degree programs
- Competition in higher-end skills is increasing implications for individuals, companies, & countries
- □ Concern about suppliers and practitioner not exercising "minimum level of responsible practice"

National focus needed in countries to stay competitive in a global IT environment:

- □ Computing curriculum needs to evolve to better embrace changing nature of IT/software business
- Educational policy and investment needed to foster innovation and increase IT-related enrollments
- Improvements needed in the state-of-the-practice and state-of-the-art for IT & software capabilities

Processes and technologies are required to build trust into IT and software



Homeland Security

Strengthen operational resiliency

Shortage of IT/Software workforce with requisite skills in US contributes to Offshoring

- Current enrollment declines & shortages of IT/software professionals in the US partially driven by misperceptions of students and American public
 - 2000 2003 trends indicated increase in IT/software jobs being offshored/outsourced accompanied by rise in US unemployment – changed perceptions & career choices:
 - Perception limited future in IT careers; jobs subject to offshoring
 - Response students opt for alternative disciplines;
 - Current trends show declining enrollments in IT/computing/software engineering
 - 2004 2006 trends indicate increase in domestic IT/software job positions
 - Offshoring continues, but domestic IT/software demands outpace offshoring
 - Employers cannot fill all positions with current IT/software domestic workforce;
 - Diminishing enrollment of US students in IT/computing will require further outsourcing.
- Do schools provide relevant curriculum for students to be competitive in a global IT economy to enable requisite core competencies in IT/software?
- Offshore sources sought to fill void of qualified US IT workforce
 - Some companies now seeking to "back shore" jobs in US after offshoring presented unacceptable risks or lacked expected benefits
 - Many companies opt to offshore to access readily available IT/software workforce when jobs cannot be filled by US workforce with requisite skills



Homeland Security

Tech Unemployment & IT Investment:

INSTITUTE FOR INTERNATIONAL ECONOMICS

Total and Select Categories of IT-Related Occupation Unemployment and IT Investment (1)



(1) Annual from 1983-1999 and 4-quarter moving averages from 2000-2005. Investment nominal investment in Information Processing Equipment and Software. Sources: EPI, BLS and NIPA TAbles

Diffusion of IT leads to technology jobs throughout US economy —2/3 of IT workers work outside the IT sector. So, IT professionals exposed to <u>both</u> the tech cycle and business cycle.

Trade, Technology, and Jobs *Cyclical exposure & structural change*

INSTITUTE FOR INTERNATIONAL ECONOMICS

© Catherine L. Mann, Institute for International Economics, Feb 2006

US Technology Occupations 1999-End 2004

Occupations	1999	End- 2004	Total Change	Percentage Change	Anı Wa 20	nual age)04	Annual Real Wage Change 1999-2004
Call-Center Type Occupations							
Telemarketers	485,650	407,650	-78,000	-16.1%	\$ 2	23,520	-0.3%
Telephone Operators	50,820	36,760	-14,060	-27.7%	\$ 2	29,980	-0.3%
Low-wage Technology Workers							
Switchboard operators, including answering service	248,570	202,980	-45,590	-18.3%	\$ 2	22,750	0.3%
Computer operators	198,500	133,230	-65,270	-32.9%	\$ 3	33,140	0.8%
Data entry keyers	520,220	307,400	-212,820	-40.9%	\$ 2	24,560	0.6%
Word Processors and Typists	271,310	161,730	-109,580	-40.4%	\$ 2	29,800	1.6%
Desktop Publishers	37,040	30,340	-6,700	-18.1%	\$ 3	34,210	-0.7%
Electrical and electronic equipment assemblers	387,430	207,050	-180,380	-46.6%	\$ 2	960, 27	2.5%
Semiconductor processors	42,110	43,420	1,310	3.1%	\$ 3	32,080	0.6%
Total Call-Center and Low-Wage Tech. Workers	2,241,650	1,530,560	-711,090	-31.7%	\$ 2	26,539	0.7%
Comparable; Production Workers in the Manufacturing Sector				-19%			
Mid-Level IT Workers					-		
Computer Support Specialists	462,840	491,680	28,840	6.2%	\$ 4	43,660	-0.5%
Hign-wage Technology Workers			670	2.5%	· ·		2.7%
Computer and Information Scientists, research	26,260	26,950	670	2.5%	ው ነ ድ	90,860 ss 400	3.7%
Computer programmers	02 <i>0</i> ,000	390,700	-732,500	-20.7%	2) (() ()	20,4 <i>0</i> 0 70,770	7.3%
Computer software engineers, applications	207,600	439,720	112,120	52.9%	ъ и с и	0,570	1.1%
Computer soltware engineers, systems soltware	209,030 470,140	321,120	112,090 colon	00.070 10.10/	a c	53,400 CO 470	2.270
Computer systems analysis	420,210	497,100	1 040	10.170	a c	39,470 37,300	1.270
Database auministrators	101,460	100,420		-1.070 D0.50/	a c	04,300 23,200	1.070
Network and computer systems administrators	∠04,000 00 כי סס	202,930 176 940	00,200 70,210	20.370	a ta	27,300	1.3%
Network systems and data communications analysis	50,330 60,430	79,670	10,010	75.0%	a c		0.370
Computer hardware engineers	1/10/210	1/17/100	2 13,250	J1.976 1.494	φ (α -	JU,U4U 75 640	2.370
⊏lectrical engineers	149,210 100 000	147,120 122,410		-1.470	0 / 1 0 -	70,540	1.070
Total High wage Tech Werkers	2 200 650	2 591 290	20,000	24.370 17.3%	φ 4	71 680	1.070
Comparable: Total CES Employment	2,200,030	2,301,300	300,130	3%	4	1,000	1.1 70
comparable, rotar CES Employment				370			

Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics CES Data, 1999, 2000, 2001, 2002, May 2003, November 2003 and May 2004 National Occupational Employment and Wage Estimates

Low-wage in real trouble—from trade & technology Increased 'codification' puts some high-wage at risk (programming) Increased jobs at middle & high-wage demand integrative & analytical skills

Globalization and Offshoring of Software: 2006 Report of the ACM Job Migration Task Force

Provides the Emerging Trends, Debunked Myths, and More Realistic Picture of the Current State and Likely Future of IT

- 1. Offshoring: the Big Picture
- 2. Economics of Offshoring
- 3. The Country Perspective
- 4. Corporate Strategies for Software Globalization
- 5. Globalization of IT Research
- 6. Offshoring: Risks & Exposures
- 7. Education

<text><text><image><image>

Globalization and

8. Policies & Politics of Offshoring: An International Perspective

"Career opportunities in IT will remain strong in the countries where they have been strong in the past even as they grow in the countries that are targets of offshoring. The future, however, is one in which the individual will be situated in a more global competition. The brightness of the future for individuals, companies, or countries is centered on their ability to invest in building the foundations that foster innovation and invention."

http://www.acm.org/globalizationreport

ACM 2006 "Globalization and Offshoring of Software" Findings & Recommendations -- Implications for Software Assurance

More IT jobs in the US – among the fastest-growing occupations

- Data from US Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) reports, "despite a significant increase in offshoring over the past five years, more IT jobs are available today in the US than at the height of the dot.com boom."
- US BLS predicts IT jobs to be "among the fastest-growing occupations over the next decade."
- Global competition in higher-end skills is increasing -- these trends have implications for individuals, companies, and countries
 - IT workers & students improve their chances of long-term employment in IT occupations by:
 - obtaining a strong foundational education,
 - learning the technologies used in the global software industry,
 - keeping skills up to date throughout their career,
 - developing good teamwork and communication skills,
 - becoming familiar with other cultures, and
 - managing their careers so as to choose work in industries and jobs occupations less likely to be automated or sent to a low-wage country.

Offshoring between developed and developing countries benefit both

- Other countries benefit from generating new revenue and creating high-value jobs;
- US-based corporations achieve better financial performance as a result of the cost savings associated with offshoring some jobs and investing increased profits in growing business opportunities that create new jobs in the US.

http://www.acm.org/globalizationreport

ACM 2006 "Globalization and Offshoring of Software" Findings & Recommendations -- Implications for Software Assurance

- To stay competitive in a global IT environment, countries must adopt policies that foster innovation – educational policy and core investment.
 - To this end, policies that improve a country's ability to attract, educate, and retain the best IT talent are critical.
 - Building a foundation to foster the next generation of innovation and invention requires:
 - Sustaining or strengthening technical training and education systems,
 - Sustaining or increasing investment in research and development, and
 - Establishing governmental policies that eliminate barriers to the free flow of talent.
 - There are some general principles that all countries can follow to mount an effective educational response to offshoring:
 - Evolve computing curriculum at a pace and in a way that better embraces the changing nature of IT.
 - Ensure computing curriculum prepare students for the global economy.
 - Teach students to be innovative and creative.
 - Evolve curriculum to achieve a better balance between foundational knowledge of computing on the one hand, and business and application domain knowledge on the other.
 - Invest to ensure the educational system has good technology, good curriculum, and good teachers.

ACM 2006 "Globalization and Offshoring of Software" Findings & Recommendations -- Implications for Software Assurance

- Offshoring magnifies risks and creates new threats to national security, business property and processes, and individuals' privacy businesses and nations should employ strategies to mitigate them
 - When businesses offshore work, they increase not only their own business-related risks they also increase risks to national security and individuals' privacy.
 - intellectual property theft, failures in longer supply chains, or
 - complexity arising from conflicting legal environments
 - Businesses have a clear incentive to manage these new risks to suit their own interests, but nations and individuals often have little awareness of the exposures created.
 - Many nations have COTS software and Internet Protocol technologies in IT-based military systems and critical infrastructure systems.
 - Many COTS systems are developed offshore, making it difficult for buyers to understand source/code.
 - Creates possibility that a hostile nation or non-governmental hostile agents (terrorist/criminal) can compromise these systems.
 - Individuals often are exposed to loss of privacy or identity theft.
 - Bank records, transaction records, call center traffic, and service centers all are being offshored today.
 - Voluminous medical records are being transferred offshore, read by clinicians elsewhere, stored and manipulated in foreign repositories, and managed under much less restrictive laws about privacy and security than in most developed countries.
 - Companies and governments need risk mitigation strategies to address offshoring:
 - Companies should have security and data privacy plans and be certified to meet certain standards;
 - Service providers should not outsource work without the explicit approval of the client;
 - Offshoring providers should be vetted carefully;
 - Businesses should encrypt data transmissions/minimize access to databases by offshore operations;
 - Nations can adopt stronger privacy policies, invest in research methods to secure this data,
 - Nation-to-nation & international treatment of data and how compromises will be handled is needed.

United States 2nd National Software Summit Report, "Software 2015: a National Software Strategy to Ensure US Security and Competitiveness" April 29, 2005*

- Identified major gaps in:
 - Requirements for software tools and technologies to routinely develop error-free software and the state-of-the-art
 - State-of-the-art and state-of-the-practice
- Recommended elevating software to national policy using public-private partnerships involving government, industry and academia
- National Software Strategy -- four major programs
 - Improving Software Trustworthiness
 - Educating and Fielding the Software Workforce
 - Re-Energizing Software Research and Development
 - Encouraging Innovation Within U.S. Software Industry
- Purpose of National Software Strategy:
 - Achieve ability to routinely develop and deploy trustworthy software products
 - Ensure the continued competitiveness of the US software industry



PITAC* Findings Relative to Needs for Secure Software Engineering & Software Assurance

- Commercial software engineering today lacks the scientific underpinnings and rigorous controls needed to produce high-quality, secure products at acceptable cost.
- Commonly used software engineering practices permit dangerous errors, such as improper handling of buffer overflows, which enable hundreds of attack programs to compromise millions of computers every year.
- In the future, the Nation may face even more challenging problems as adversaries – both foreign and domestic – become increasingly sophisticated in their ability to insert malicious code into critical software.
- Recommendations for increasing investment in cyber security provided to NITRD Interagency Working Group for Cyber Security & Information Assurance R&D



* President's Information Technology Advisory Committee (PITAC) Report to the President, "Cyber Security: A Crisis of Prioritization," February 2005 identified top 10 areas in need of increased support, including: 'secure software engineering and software assurance' and 'metrics, benchmarks, and best practices' [Note: PITAC is now a part of PCAST]

Offshoring also sought due to shortage of IT students & workforce in US

- Current shortage of IT/software professionals in the US and enrollment declines in relevant disciplines partially driven by misperceptions
- Offshore sources sometimes sought to fill void of qualified US IT workforce
- Schools must provide relevant curriculum for students to be competitive in a global IT economy; focus needed on requisite core competencies in IT/software
 - Computer programming easily offshored;
 - Domestic demand is high in IT/computing & information research, software engineering, systems analysts, network and systems administration, network and data communications analysts;
 - Domestic demand raising in all aspects of cyber security and information assurance; increasing needs associated with software assurance.
- To stay competitive in global IT environment, a US national focus is needed to reverse trends to increase enrollments in IT/computing disciplines
 - Improvement needed in state-of-the-practice and state-of-the-art for IT/SW capabilities
 - Computing curriculum needs to embrace changing nature of IT/software business
 - Educational policy and investment needed to foster innovation and increase IT-related enrollments



Homeland Security

GAO Reports relative to Software Assurance

- GAO-04-321 Report, "Cybersecurity for Critical Infrastructure Protection," May 2004
- GAO-04-678 Report, "Defense Acquisitions: Knowledge of Software Suppliers Needed to Manage Risks," May 2004
 - Outsourcing, foreign development risks & insertion of malicious code
 - Domestic development subject to similar risks
 - Recommendations for program managers to factor in software risks and security in risk assessments
- GAO-05-434 Report, "Critical Infrastructure Protection: DHS Faces Challenges in Fulfilling Cybersecurity Responsibilities," May 2005

GAO)	united States General Accounting office Report to Congressional Requesters	
May 2004		DEFENSE ACQUISITIONS Knowledge of Software Suppliers Needed to Manage Risks	
	GAO	United States General Accounting Office	
640-04	May 2004	TECHNOLOGY ASSESSMENT Cybersecurity for Critical Infrastructu Protection	ure
	GA0-04-321	http://www.gao.gov	,

Why Software Assurance is Critical

Software is the core constituent of modern products and services – it enables functionality and business operations

Dramatic increase in mission risk due to increasing:

- Software dependence and system interdependence (weakest link syndrome)
- Software Size & Complexity (obscures intent and precludes exhaustive test)
- Outsourcing and use of un-vetted software supply chain (COTS & custom)
- Attack sophistication (easing exploitation)
- Reuse (unintended consequences increasing number of vulnerable targets)
- Number of vulnerabilities & incidents with threats targeting software
- Risk of Asymmetric Attack and Threats
- Increasing awareness and concern

Software and the processes for acquiring and developing software represent a material weakness





Knowledge of Supply Chain & Software Content

- Transparency of the Supply Chain should be an important element of an organization's Risk Management efforts.
- Supplier identity and software content often blurred by reuse of legacy code, sub-contracting, outsourcing and use of open source software (OSS).
- OSS represents a major perturbation in software development processes, in software distribution and acquisition, and in the lifecycle aspects of usage.
 - OSS code is everywhere -- it will find its way into your organization in many ways, and calls into question existing assumptions regarding the software supply chain.
 - IT environments will be comprised of "mixed code" -- New tools and processes will be required to properly manage this environment.
- Tools needed to deliver transparency of supply chain and software content, (ie., the identification of software elements, combined with increasingly rich information about the identified software elements).
- Transparency of software content ultimately translates into increased security of IT operations, and is a new weapon in the mission to secure cyberspace, and maintain more resilient critical infrastructure assets.



http://www.gao.gov

What has Caused Software Assurance Problem Increasing software vulnerabilities and exploitation

►Then

- Domestic dominated market
- Stand alone systems
- Software small and simple
- Software small part of functionality
- Custom and closed development processes (cleared personnel)
- Adversaries known, few, and technologically less sophisticated



Homeland Security

►Now

- Global market
- Globally network environment
- Software large and complex
- Software is the core of system functionality
- COTS/GOTS/Custom in open and unknown, un-vetted development processes with outsourcing & reuse (foreign sourced, un-cleared, un-vetted)
- Adversaries numerous and sophisticated

Exploitable Software:

Outcomes of non-secure practices and/or malicious intent

Exploitation potential of vulnerability independent of "intent"





Note: Chart is not to scale - notional representation -- for discussions

Exploitation of Software Vulnerabilities

- Serve as primary points of entry that attackers may attempt to use to gain access to systems and/or data
- Enable compromise of business and missions
- Allow Attackers to:
 - Pose as other entities
 - Execute commands as other users
 - Conduct information gathering activities
 - Access data (contrary to specified access restrictions for that data)
 - Hide activities
 - Conduct a denial of service
 - Embed malicious logic for future exploitation





Realities of Relying on Software

- Software has defects many defects have security implications.
- As new attacks are being invented, software behaviour that could reasonably have been considered correct when written may have unintended effects when deliberately exploited.
- Current software patching solutions are struggling to catch up with the attacks.
- Since hackers are trying to break into system at every level of the application stack, heap or registry, it's critical to understand the security implications of programming decisions in order to keep your software secure.





Reality of Existing Software



complex, multiple technologies with multiple suppliers

Based on average defect rate, deployed software package of 1MLOCs has 6000 defects;
if only 1% of those defects are security vulnerabilities, there are 60 different opportunities for hacker to attack the system



Homeland Security


Software Assurance contributes to Trustworthy Software Systems

Suppliers must consider enabling technologies and lifecycle processes

Holistic approach must factor in all relevant technologies, protection initiatives and contributing disciplines

Standards are required to better enable national and international commerce and to provide basis for certification



Adopted from the TrustSoft Graduate School on Trustworthy Software Systems, started April 2005; funded by the <u>German Research Foundation</u> (DFG). See German Oldenburg <u>http://trustsoft.uni-oldenburg.de</u> 37



Software Assurance Comes From:



Knowing what it takes to "get" what we want

- Development/acquisition practices/process capabilities
- Criteria for assuring integrity & mitigating risks



Building and/or acquiring what we want

- Threat modeling and analysis
- Requirements engineering
- Failsafe design and defect-free code
- Supply Chain Management

*Multiple Sources:

DHS/NCSD, OASD(NII)IA, NSA, NASA, JHU/APL



Understanding what we built / acquired

- Production assurance evidence
- Comprehensive testing and diagnostics
- Formal methods & static analysis



Using what we understand

- Policy/practices for use & acquisition
- Composition of trust
- Hardware support



Software Assurance Lifecycle Considerations

- Define Lifecycle Threats/Hazards, Vulnerabilities & Risks
- Identify Risks attributable to software
- Determine Threats (and Hazards)
- Understand key aspects of Vulnerabilities
- Consider Implications in Lifecycle Phases:
 - Threats to: System, Production process, Using system
 - Vulnerabilities attributable to: Ineptness (undisciplined practices), Malicious intent, Incorrect or incomplete artifacts, Inflexibility
 - Risks in Current Efforts: Polices & Practices, Constraints



DHS Software Assurance Program Overview

Program based upon the National Strategy to Secure Cyberspace - Action/Recommendation 2-14:

"DHS will facilitate a national public-private effort to promulgate best practices and methodologies that promote integrity, security, and reliability in software code development, including processes and procedures that diminish the possibilities of erroneous code, malicious code, or trap doors that could be introduced during development."



- DHS Program goals promote the security of software across the development life cycle
- Software Assurance (SwA) program is scoped to address:
 - Trustworthiness No exploitable vulnerabilities exist, either maliciously or unintentionally inserted
 - Predictable Execution Justifiable confidence that software, when executed, functions in a manner in which it is intended
 - Conformance Planned and systematic set of multi-disciplinary activities that ensure software processes and products conform to requirements, standards/ procedures





Software Assurance Program Alignment

	National Strategy to Secure Cyberspace					
<text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text></text></text></text></text></text></text></text></text></text></text></text></text></text></text>	Priority 1: National Cyberspace Security Response System	Priority 2: National Cyberspace Threat and Vulnerability Reduction Prog.	Priority 3: National Cyberspace Security Awareness and Training Prog.	Priority 4: Securing Govt.'s Cyberspace	Priority 5: International Cyberspace Security Cooperation	"maintain an organization to serve as a focal point for the security of cyberspace"
NCSD Goal 1: Prevent, detect, and respond to cyber incidents, and reconstitute rapidly after cyber incidents.						
NCSD Goal 2: Work with public and private sectors to reduce vulnerabilities and minimize the severity of cyber attacks.						
NCSD Goal 3: Promote a comprehensive national awareness program to empower all Americans to secure their own parts of cyberspace.				oftware As Program al	ssurance ignment	
NCSD Goal 4: Foster adequate training and education programs to support the Nation's cyber security needs.						
NCSD Goal 5: Coordinate with the intelligence and law enforcement communities to identify and reduce threats to cyber space.						



Homeland Security

*"National Strategy to Secure Cyberspace" and Homeland Security Presidential Directive #7

Software Assurance Program Alignment – FY06

		HSPD7				
	Priority 1: National Cyberspace Security Response System	Priority 2: National Cyberspace Threat and Vulnerability Reduction Program	Priority 3: National Cyberspace Security Awareness and Training Program	Priority 4: Securing Govt.'s Cyberspace	Priority 5: International Cyberspace Security Cooperation	HSDP7: "maintain an organization to serve as a focal point for the security of cyberspace"
NCSD Goal 2: Work with public and private sectors to reduce vulnerabilities and minimize the severity of cyber attacks.		Developers Guide for SW Security in the SDLC, v1.0 in March 2006 Build Security In Web site – stakeholder review, CCB, updates	SwA Common Body of Knowledge – version 1.0 in March 2006 Articles in journals SwA Forums, workshops and conferences	SAMATE: Metrics and Tool Evaluation Federation of Labs Tools & Product Eval (NIAP Review) Acquisition Mgr Guides: Procurement templates & due diligence questionnaire	Processes and Practices National & International standards SwA security measurement	Software Assurance Program Management – SwA Deputy Director/ Program Mgr (being hired)





DHS Software Assurance Program Structure

- Program framework encourages the production, evaluation and acquisition of better quality and more secure software; leverages resources to target the following four areas:
 - People developers (includes education & training) and users
 - Processes sound practices, standards, and practical guidelines for the development of secure software
 - Technology diagnostic tools, cyber security R&D and measurement
 - Acquisition software security improvements through specifications and guidelines for acquisition/outsourcing



DHS Software Assurance: Acquisition

- Collaborate with stakeholders to enhance software supply chain management through improved risk mitigation and contracting for secure software **
 - Collaborate with stakeholder organizations to support acquisition community to develop and disseminate:
 - Due-diligence questionnaire for RFI/RFP and source selection decision-making
 - Templates and sample statement of work / procurement language for acquisition and evaluation based on successful models
 - Acquisition Managers guidebook on acquisition/procurement of secure softwareintensive systems and services
 - Collaborate with government and industry working groups to:
 - Identify needs for reducing risks associated with software supply chain
 - Provide acquisition training and education to develop applicable curriculum
 - Chair IEEE CS S2ESC WG to update of IEEE 1062, "Software Acquisition"
 - Collaborate with agencies implementing changes responsive to changes in the FAR that incorporated IT security provisions of FISMA when buying goods and services





**NCSD Goal Action 2.3.4



"Supply chain introduces risks to American society that relies on Federal Government for essential information and services."

30 Sep 2005 changes to Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) focus on IT Security

Focuses on the role of contractors in security as Federal agencies outsource various IT functions.



Acquire

Supplier

Develop In-house Reuse

Outsource

Homeland Security "Scope of Supplier Expansion and Foreign Involvement" graphic in DACS <u>www.softwaretechnews.com</u> Secure Software Engineering, July 2005 article "Software Development Security: A Risk Management Perspective" synopsis of May 2004 GAO-04-678 report "Defense Acquisition: Knowledge of Software Suppliers Needed to Manage Risks"

FISMA IT security provisions now in FAR

- 30 Sep 2005 amended FAR parts 1, 2, 7, 11, and 39 implements IT security provisions of FISMA for all phases of IT acquisition life cycle
 - Incorporates FISMA (Federal Information Systems Management Act) into Federal Acquisition with clear and consistent IT security guidance
 - Require agencies to identify and provide InfoSec protections commensurate with security risks to Federal information collected or maintained for the agency and info systems used or operated on behalf of an agency by a contractor
 - Incorporate IT security in buying goods and services
 - Require adherence to Federal Information Processing Standards
 - Require agency security policy and requirements in IT acquisitions
 - Require contractors and Fed employees be subjected to same requirements in accessing Fed IT systems and data
 - Applies Information Assurance definitions for Integrity, Confidentiality and Availability to Federal IT, including Sensitive But Unclassified information





NIST Enterprise Risk Management Framework



47

DHS Software Assurance: People

- Provide Guide to Software Assurance Common Body of Knowledge (CBK) as a framework to identify workforce needs for competencies and leverage standards and "best practices" to guide curriculum development for Software Assurance education and training**
 - Hosted five Working Group sessions (April, June, Aug, & Oct 2005 and Jan 2006) with participation from academia, industry and Government
 - Addressing three domains: "acquisition & supply," "development," and "post-release assurance" (sustainment)
 - Distribute CBK v1.0 in March 2006
 - Updating CBK awareness materials, including articles & FAQs
 - Update CBK -- identifying prioritization of practices and knowledge areas in domains, contributing disciplines and curricula, and "use" aids
 - Develop pilot training/education curriculum consistent with CBK in conjunction with early adopters for distribution by September 2007



Secure Software Assurance A Guide to the Common Body of Knowledge to Produce, Acquire and Sustain Secure Software, v1.0, March 2006

- Further review and comments have been solicited for feedback -- broader stakeholder community being contacted
- To provide comments, people have joined the Software Workforce Education and Training Working Group to collaborate through the US CERT Portal (<u>https://us-cert.esportals.net/</u>) using Organization ID 223
- Version 0.9 released in Jan 2006 via Federal Register Notice, accessible via "buildsecurityin.us-cert.gov" with v1.0 to be published March 2006
- Offered for informative use; it is not intended as a policy or a standard



Homeland Security

Information for Educators & Trainers

(version 1.0 issued Mar 2006)

Secure Software Assurance

A Guide to the Common Body of Knowledge to Produce, Acquire, and Sustain Secure Software (*Draft, v0.7*)

September 30, 2005



Disciplines Contributing to SwA CBK*



In Education and Training, Software Assurance could be addressed as:

- A "knowledge area" extension within each of the contributing disciplines;
- A stand-alone CBK drawing upon contributing disciplines;
- A set of functional roles, drawing upon a common body of knowledge; allowing more in-depth coverage dependent upon the specific roles.

Intent is to provide framework for curriculum development and evolution of contributing BOKs





* See 'Notes Page' view for contributing BOK URLs and relevant links

The intent is not to create a new profession of Software Assurance; rather, to provide a common body of knowledge: (1) from which to provide input for developing curriculum in related fields of study and (2) for evolving the contributing disciplines to better address the needs of software security, safety, dependability, reliability and

Reaching Relevant Stakeholders

Leverage Evolving Efforts in Universities, Standards Organizations & Industry

Education	Professional Development	Training and Practices
 Curriculum Accreditation Criteria 	 Continuing Education Certification 	 Standards of Practice Training programs
CNSS IA Courseware Eval IEEE/ACM SW Eng 2004 curriculum AACSB & ABET AIS IS & MSIS curriculum	Certified SW Development Professional (CSDP), IEEE IEEE CSDP Prep Course IEEE CS SWE Book Series	IEEE CS SW & Systems Engineering Standards Committee (S2ESC) ISO/IEC JTC1/SC7 & SC27 and other committees
University acceptance	Individual acceptance	Industry acceptance

Homeland Security

Adopted from "Integrating Software Engineering Standards" by IEEE Computer Society Liaison to ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 7, <u>James.W.Moore@ieee.org</u>, 23 February 2005 51

Integrating SwA CBK with CNSS IA Standards (An example path for inserting SwA in Education Curriculum)



Software Assurance considerations for IA functional roles: -- add SwA material in applicable CNSS 4000 series standards -- add a new CNSS 4000 series standard on SW Assurance

Significance of SwA Education Curriculum



Courseware –

Through DoD & DHS co-sponsorship, the Committee on National Security Systems



- (CNSS) and the National Security Agency (NSA) provide certification that academic institutions offer a set of courseware that has been reviewed by National Level Information Assurance Subject Matter Experts who determine if the institutions meet National Training Standards for Information Systems Security Professionals, NSTISSI No. 4011 for Information Security Professionals (as a minimum, plus at least
- one of the other 4000 series standards) for specific academic years.

Center of Academic Excellence in Information Assurance Education

- Designation as CAEIAE by NSA (based on CNSS certification of courseware).
- See http://www.nsa.gov/ia/academia/caeCriteria.cfm

Scholarship for Service (SFS)



- **CAEIAE certification** (or qualified equivalent criteria determined by NSA & DHS) is a qualifying requirement for institutions to offer the National Science Foundation (NSF) SFS program.
- **NSF Federal Cyber Service SFS Federal Cyber Service** Training and Education Initiative at http://www.nsf.gov/pubs/2006/nsf06507/nsf06507.htm
 - Scholarship Track -- increase the number of qualified students entering the fields of information assurance and computer security and
 - **Capacity Building** -- increase the capacity of the U.S. higher education _ enterprise to continue to produce professionals in these fields to meet the needs of our increasingly technological society.





SwA CBK relative to Computing Curricula

- Currently mapping SwA CBK content to Computing Curricula
- Goal is to provide the resulting mapping to assist in integrating SwA in relevant degree programs



Computing Curricula 2005

The Overview Report

covering undergraduate degree programs in Computer Engineering Computer Science Information Systems Information Technology Software Engineering

A volume of the Computing Curricula Series

The Joint Task Force for Computing Curricula 2005

A cooperative project of The Association for Computing Machinery (ACM) The Association for Information Systems (AIS) The Computer Society (IEEE-CS)

30 September 2005

Integrating SwA CBK with IT Security Training

(An example path for inserting SwA in IT Workforce Training Programs)

- Provide input to the DHS-led federal IT workforce training initiative by leveraging evolving efforts in federal government:
 - DoD IA Workforce Training and Certification Requirements for IA Workforce (see DoD 8570.1M)
 - NIST IT Security Training Requirements (see NIST Special Pub 800-16)
 - Federal CIO IT Workforce Council
- Provide recommended core competencies and course content for federal acquisition managers to consider SwA duediligence in procurement efforts
 - Federal Acquisition Institute (FAI)
 - Defense Acquisition University (DAU)
 - National Defense University Information Resource Management College



DHS Software Assurance: Process

- Provide practical guidance in software assurance practices and process improvement methodologies**
 - Launched a web-based repository "Build Security In" on US-CERT web site "buildsecurityin.us-cert.gov on October 3, 2005
 - Publishing developers' guide "SECURING THE SOFTWARE LIFECYCLE"
 - Developing business case analysis to support software security throughout lifecycle practices
 - Completing DHS/DoD co-sponsored comprehensive review of the NIAP & use of the Common Criteria
 - Continuing to seek broader participation of relevant stakeholder organizations and professional societies
 - Participate in relevant standards bodies; identify software assurance gaps in applicable standards from ISO/IEC, IEEE, NIST, ANSI, OMG, CNSS, and Open Group and support effort through DHS-sponsored SwA Processes and Practices Working group



DHS Software Assurance: Process (cont.)

- Provide practical guidance in software assurance practices and process improvement methodologies**
 - Launched a web-based central repository "Build Security In" on US-CERT web site https://buildsecurityin.us-cert.gov on October 3, 2005
 - Provides dissemination of recommended "sound" practices and technologies for secure software development
 - Continuing to sponsor work
 with CMU Software Engineering
 Institute and industry to further
 develop practical guidance and
 update the web-based repository



 Updating site to include additional development guidance and add new focus for acquisition and ops/sustainment



Homeland Security

**NCSD Goal Action 2.3.2

Build Security In DHS National Cyber Security Division

Process Agnostic Lifecycle

Launched 3 Oct 2005

Architecture & Design

- Architectural risk analysis
- Threat modeling
- Principles

Sponsored by

- Guidelines
- Historical risks
- Modeling tools
- **Resources**



- Assembly, integration & evolution
- Coding practices
- Coding rules
- Code analysis
- Resources

Test

- Security testing
- 🔽 White box testing
- Attack patterns
- Historical risks
- Resources

Requirements

- Requirements engineering
- Attack patterns
- **Resources**

https://buildsecurityin.us-cert.gov



Homeland Security

Touch Points & Artifacts

Fundamentals

- Risk management
- Project management
- Training & awareness
- Measurement
- SDLC process
- Business relevance
- **Resources**

System

- Penetration testing
- Incident management
- Deployment & operations
- Black box testing
- Resources

Key

Best (sound) practices Foundational knowledge 🐃 Tools Resources

DHS Software Assurance: Process (cont.)

- Provide practical guidance in software assurance practices and process improvement methodologies** (cont.)
 - Released draft developers' guide "SECURING THE SOFTWARE LIFECYCLE: Making Application Development Processes – and Software Produced by Them – More Secure"
 - Collect, develop, and publish practical guidance and reference materials for security through the software development life cycle
 - Provide an informative aid for developers on software assurance process improvement methodologies.

Information for Developers

(version 1.0 published Mar 2006)

Securing the Software Lifecycle

Making Application Development Processes – and the Software Produced by Them – More Secure (*Draft*)

September 30, 2005



Homeland Security



"Securing the Software Lifecycle: Making Application Development Processes – and the Software Produced by Them – More Secure"

- Initial content from DoD-sponsored Application Security Developer Guides:
 - Securing the Software Development Lifecycle
 - Security Requirements Engineering Methodology
 - Reference Set of Application Security Requirements
 - Secure Design, Implementation, and Deployment
 - Secure Assembly of Software Components
 - Secure Use of C and C++
 - Secure Use of Java-Based Technologies
 - Software Security Testing
- Content updated, expanded, & revised based on documents and inputs from other sources across SwA community



Homeland Security

Information for **Developers**

(version 1.0 published Mar 2006)

Securing the Software Lifecycle

Making Application Development Processes - and the Software Produced by Them - More Secure (Draft)

September 30, 2005



💮 Homeland Security

"Securing the Software Lifecycle: Making Application Development Processes – and the Software Produced by Them – More Secure"

- Offered for informative use; it is not intended as a policy or standard
 - Further review and comments have been solicited for feedback -- broader stakeholder community being contacted
 - Previously, to provide comments, people joined the Software Processes and Practices WG to collaborate through US CERT Portal (<u>https://us-cert.esportals.net/</u>) using Organization ID 223
- Latest draft version released Jan 2006 via Federal Register Notice, accessible via "buildsecurityin.us-cert.gov" with v1.0 to be published by March 2006



Information for Developers

(version 1.0 published Mar 2006)

Securing the Software Lifecycle

Making Application Development Processes – and the Software Produced by Them – More Secure (Draft)

September 30, 2005



DHS Software Assurance: Process (cont.)

- Provide practical guidance in software assurance process improvement methodologies** (cont.)
 - Participate in relevant standards bodies;
 - identify software assurance gaps in applicable standards from:
 - ISO/IEC,
 - IEEE,
 - NIST,
 - ANSI,
 - OMG,
 - CNSS, and
 - Open Group
- Support effort through DHS-sponsored SwA Processes and Practices Working group
 - April, June, August, October, and Nov-Dec 2005
 - January, March, June and September 2006



Homeland Security **NCSD Goal Action 2.3.2

Value of Standards

A standard is a Name for an otherwise fuzzy concept

In a complex, multidimensional trade space of solutions ...

im Moore 2004-03 CSEE&T Pane

... a standard gives a name to a bounded region.

It defines some characteristics that a buyer can count on. Software Assurance needs standards to assign names to practices or collections of practices.

 This enables communication between:

Buyer and seller

Government and industry

Insurer and insured

Standards represent the "minimum level of responsible practice" and "sound practices" that are consensus-based, not necessarily the best available methods

Role of Standards for Software Assurance

- Standards are needed to better enable exchange of information among participants and enable interoperability between solutions (provided by multiple vendors) needed to perform SwA activities.
 - Offer common ground for communication
 - Provide consensus-based, sound practices for engineering
 - Provide benchmarking criteria for gauging the achievement of objectives
 - Allow different participants to initiate collaboration and activities in area of SwA through the common framework and achieve greater automation of SwA processes by enabling interoperability between different supporting tools
- Standards relevant to Software Assurance would:
 - Increase interoperability among tools and manual processes by creating an open framework.
 - Provide guidance and criteria for making claims about the integrity (safety, security, & dependability) of products and systems.
 - Enable generation of new solutions to benefit all sectors (Government, Industry, etc)
 - Better ensure that all sectors are investing within a coordinated strategy.



Homeland Security

Using Standards and Best Practices to Close gaps between state-of-the-practice and state-of-the-art *1, 2



*[1] Adopted from Software Assurance briefing on "ISO Harmonization of Standardized Software and System Life Cycle Processes," by Jim Moore, MITRE, June 2, 2005, *[2] US 2nd National Software Summit, April 29, 2005 Report (see http://www.cnsoftware.org) identified major gaps in requirements for software tools and technologies to routinely develop error-free software and the state-of-the-art and gaps in state-of-the-art and state-of-the-practice

Using Standards and Best Practices to Close gaps between state-of-the-practice and state-of-the-art *1, 2

Raising the Ceiling

- Information Assurance, Cyber Security and System Safety typically treat the concerns of the most critical system assets.
 - They prescribe extra practices (and possibly, extra effort) in developing, sustaining and operating such systems.
- Raising the Floor
- However, some of the concerns of Software Assurance involve simple things that any user or developer should do.
 - They don't increase lifecycle costs.
 - In many cases, they just specify "stop making avoidable mistakes."

Minimum level of responsible practice

Best

available

methods

)tato of Ar+

0 f

Pract-

*[1] Adopted from Software Assurance briefing on "ISO Harmonization of Standardized Software and System Life Cycle Processes," by Jim Moore, MITRE, June 2, 2005, *[2] US 2nd National Software Summit, April 29, 2005 Report (see http://www.cnsoftware.org) identified major gaps in requirements for software tools and technologies to routinely develop error-free software and the state-of-the-art and gaps in state-of-the-art and state-of-the-practice

Relating SW Assurance to Engineering Disciplines



Security

*Adopted from Jim Moore, IEEE CS S2ESC Liaison to ISO SC7 67

Simplified Relationships among Disciplines



* Adopted from Jim Moore, IEEE CS S2ESC Liaison to ISO SC7

Security and Assurance Concerns in ISO



Harmonization Efforts Impacting Systems and Software Assurance



SwA Concerns of Standards Organizations



ISO SC27 (INCITS CS1) Standards Portfolio

Management

- Information security and systems
- Third party information security service providers (outsourcing)

Measurement and Assessment

- Security Metrics
- Security Checklists
- IT security assessment of operational systems
- IT security evaluation and assurance

IA & Cyber Security Requirements and Operations

- Protection Profiles
- Security requirements for cryptographic modules
- Intrusion detection
- Network security
- Incident handling
- Role based access control




Safety and Security Standards



* Adopted from Paul Croll, Chairman of IEEE CS S2ESC and ISO SC7 WG9

Assurance in the ISO/IEC 15288 System Life Cycle Process Framework



Adapted from: Paul Croll, Chair, ISO/IEC JTC1/SC7 WG9, ISO/IEC 15288, System Life Cycle Processes, 2005.

Assurance in the IEEE/EIA 12207 Software Life Cycle Process Framework



Adapted from: Raghu Singh, An Introduction to International Standards ISO/IEC 12207, Software Life Cycle Processes, 1997.



Scope of ISO/IEC 15026 "System and Software Assurance"

"System and software assurance focuses on the management of risk and assurance of safety, security, and dependability within the context of system and software life cycles."

Terms of Reference changed: ISO/IEC JTC1/SC7 WG9, previously "System and Software Integrity"

Adopted from Paul Croll's SSTC May 2005 presentation, "Best Practices for Delivering Safe, Secure, and Dependable Mission Capabilities"

"Safety & Security Extensions for Integrated Capability Maturity Models" – Input to 15026

- 1. Ensure Safety and Security Competency
- 2. Establish Qualified Work Environment
- 3. Ensure Integrity of Safety and Security Information
- 4. Monitor Operations and Report Incidents
- 5. Ensure Business Continuity
- 6. Identify Safety and Security Risks
- 7. Analyze and Prioritize Risks
- 8. Determine, Implement, and Monitor Risk Mitigation Plan
- 9. Determine Regulatory Requirements, Laws, and Standards
- 10. Develop and Deploy Safe and Secure Products and Services
- 11. Objectively Evaluate Products
- 12. Establish Safety and Security Assurance Arguments
- 13. Establish Independent Safety and Security Reporting
- 14. Establish a Safety and Security Plan
- 15. Select and Manage Suppliers, Products, and Services
- 16. Monitor and Control Activities and Products



пошенано

some standy armonization of practices from 8 standards (4 on security and 4 on safety)

Safety and Security Extensions for Integrated Capability Maturity Models

> Linda Ibrahim Joe Jarzombek Matt Ashford Roger Bate Paul Croll Mary Horn Larry LaBruyere Curt Wells

and the Members of the Safety and Security Extensions Project Team

September 2004

www.faa.gov/ipg

Source: United States Department of Defense and Federal Aviation Administration joint project on, Safety and Security Extensions for Integrated Capability Maturity Models, September 2004

ISO/IEC 15026 Framework for System & SW Assurance



ISO/IEC 15026 – System and Software Assurance Interface with ISO/IEC Standards – Assurance Case/Argument

• Describes interfaces/ amplifications to the Technical & Management processes of ISO/IEC 15288 System Lifecycle & 12207 Software Lifecycle

• Describes interfaces/ amplifications to ISO/IEC 16085 Risk Management Process and 15939 Measurement Process and ISO/IEC 27004 Security Metrics

• Establishes centrality of the Assurance Argument

•Leverages IT security concepts and terminology in ISO/IEC15443

• Leverages OMG's ADM Task Force – Knowledge Discovery Meta-model



scovery Meta-model Recommendations Homeland Security ce: ISO/IEC 15026-D4, JTC1, SC7, WG9 (currently in the process of modifying the context interrelationships)



81

The Assurance Case/Argument – Requires Measurement

- Set of structured assurance claims, supported by evidence and reasoning, that demonstrates how assurance needs have been satisfied.
 - Shows compliance with assurance objectives
 - Provides an argument for the safety and security of the product or service.
 - Built, collected, and maintained throughout the life cycle
 - Derived from multiple sources

Sub-parts

- A high level summary
- Justification that product or service is acceptably safe, secure, or dependable
- Rationale for claiming a specified level of safety and security
- Conformance with relevant standards and regulatory requirements
- The configuration baseline
- Identified hazards and threats and residual risk of each hazard and threat
- Operational and support assumptions



Homeland opted from Paul Croll, ISO SC7 WG9 Editor for Systems and Software Assurance

The Assurance Case/Argument

Structure

Attributes



*Adopted from Paul Croll, ISO SC7 WG9 Editor for Systems and Software Assurance

Key Standards for Software & System Processes

- ISO/IEC 15288, System Life Cycle Processes
 - 25 processes spanning the life cycle of a system.
 - The standard is primarily descriptive.
- ISO/IEC 12207:1995, Software Life Cycle Processes
 - 17 processes spanning the life cycle of a software product or service.
 - The standard is somewhat prescriptive in defining a minimum level of responsible practice.
 - Describes processes meeting the needs of organizational process definition.
- ISO/IEC 12207:Amd 1
 - Describes processes to meet the needs of process assessment and improvement.
- ► ISO/IEC 15026, Integrity Levels → Assurance
 - Describes additional techniques needed for high-integrity systems.
 - Currently, not process-oriented, but is being repositioned.
- ISO/IEC 16085, Risk Management Process
- ISO/IEC 15939, Measurement Process
- Other standards treating specific processes in greater detail

Partition of Concerns in Software-Intensive Systems



Considerations for Assurance Arguments:

- -- What can be understood and controlled (such as failures and attack vectors)?
- -- What must be articulated in terms of "assurance" claims and how might the bounds of such claims be described?

From facilitated discussions in SwA WG on Practices and Processes, Aug & Nov 2005

Framework for IT Security Assurance

- JTC1/SC 27 ISO/IEC TR 15443, Information technology -- Security techniques --A framework for IT security assurance -- Part 1: Overview and framework
 - Guides selection of an appropriate assurance method when specifying, selecting, or deploying a security service, product, or environmental factor such as an organization or personnel (known as a *deliverable*).
 - Facilitates the understanding of the assurance type and effort required to achieve confidence that the deliverable satisfies stated IT security assurance requirements and security policy.
 - Describes fundamentals of security assurance and relation to other security concepts.
 - Clarifies why security assurance is required and dispels misconceptions that increased assurance is gained by increasing the strength of security mechanisms.
 - Includes a categorization of assurance types and a generic lifecycle model to identify the appropriate assurance types required for the deliverable.
 - Demonstrates how security assurance must be managed throughout the deliverable's lifecycle requiring assurance decisions to be made by several assurance authorities for the lifecycle stage relevant to their organization (i.e. developer, standards, consumer).
 - Accommodates different assurance types and maps into any lifecycle approach so as not to dictate any particular design.
 - Includes advanced security assurance concepts, such as combining security assurance methods.

Framework for IT Security Assurance (cont.)

- ► ISO/IEC Technical Report 15443 addresses (within three parts):
 - Part 1, Overview and Framework provides fundamental concepts and general description of assurance methods:
 - Targets IT security in developing a security assurance program, determining the security assurance of deliverables, entering assurance assessment audits (e.g. ISO 9000, ISO/IEC 21827, ISO/IEC 15408-3), or other assurance activities.
 - Part 2, Assurance Methods describes a variety of assurance methods and approaches and relates them to Part 1 security assurance framework model:
 - Identifies qualitative properties of assurance methods.
 - Aids in understanding how to obtain assurance in a given life cycle stage of deliverable.
 - Part 3, Analysis of Assurance Methods analyzes the various methods with respect to their assurance properties and aids Assurance Authorities:
 - in deciding relative value of Assurance Approaches and determining that they will provide the assurance results most appropriate to their needs.
 - to use assurance results to achieve desired confidence of the deliverable.

ISO/IEC TR 15446 – Additional guidance with applicable concepts specifying security claims

- ISO/IEC TR 15446:2004, Information technology -- Security techniques -- Guide for the production of Protection Profiles and Security Targets
 - Provides guidance relating to the construction of Protection Profiles (PPs) and Security Targets (STs) that are intended to be compliant with ISO/IEC 15408 (the "Common Criteria").
 - Gives suggestions on how to develop each section of a PP or ST.
 - Supported by an annex that contains generic examples of each type of PP and ST component, and by other annexes that contain detailed worked examples.
 - Is primarily aimed at the development of PPs and STs.
 - Is likely to be useful to evaluators of PPs and STs and to those who are responsible for monitoring PP and ST evaluation.
 - May also be of interest to consumers and users of PPs and STs who wish to understand what guidance the PP/ST author used, and which parts of the PP or ST are of principal interest.

Proposed standardization work within OMG

- Recently, OMG launched <u>Architecture-Driven Modernization (ADM)</u> Task Force to develop specifications related to modernization of existing software systems.
 - Often referred to as "MDA-in-reverse," it addresses the need to apply modeling techniques to software products that are already in production to facilitate understanding, evaluation, assessment, certification, or modernization.
 - ADM techniques reach new frontiers in software understanding.
- The first specification of the ADM Task Force Knowledge Discovery Meta-model (KDM) - establishes the Foundation for Software <u>Assurance and Modernization</u> by standardizing common platformneutral framework for describing software systems, their artifacts, designs, architecture and their operating environment.
 - KDM defines common terminology that can be shared by tool vendors and integrators, and assessment and certification bodies;
 - KDM also defines a formal interoperability specification, so that descriptions can be exchanged; thus it providing interoperability in software understanding.

Software Assurance Meta-model

Process of building *trust* ... embodied in software asset evaluation

Claims about software systems...

- Involve certain Target Requirement (intentions)
 - Related to *risks*
 - How vendor-specified risk is mitigated
 - Security requirements
 - Process requirements (cleanroom, ISO, etc.;)
 - Architectural TR (especially when system of systems; integrations of 3rd party components is involved)
- Specify the degree to which the target requirement was addressed
- Levels of *certainty* of the claim
- What kind of proof exists to support the certain claim
- What benchmarks were involved
- Process of building/assembling software components
- Trust is *derived* from claims
 - Levels of trust and how vendor-specified risks match buyer's risks

Interoperability facilitates exchange

- In order to facilitate exchange of claims about software industry-wide, there should be (at least):
 - Agreement of common terminology, boilerplate claims, properties, etc.
 - Structured way to exchange such claims (templates, XML schemas, etc.)
 - Agreed-upon ways to interpret such claims, properties, etc. (common meaning, as opposed to simply common format).
 - Archives of such claims (libraries, repositories) that allow search, comparison, etc. (which again needs shared taxonomy, etc.)
 - Automated methods (supported by tools)



Examples of Desired Relationships



* Adopted from Jim Moore, IEEE CS S2ESC Liaison to ISO SC 7

Some Current Efforts

► ISO SC7

- Incorporate "raise the floor" assurance practices into life cycle standards.
- Incorporate "raise the ceiling" practices into separate standards strongly related to the life cycle standards.
- Use "16 Practices" as a benchmark for measuring success.

► ISO SC22

- Develop coding guidelines for common programming languages.
- ▶ ISO SC27
 - Expand their perceived context to include assurance concerns.

▶ IEEE S2ESC

 Use as an "integrator" of standards for packaging and transition to industry.



DHS Software Assurance: Technology

- Enhance software security measurement and assess Software Assurance testing and diagnostic tools**
 - Collaborate with National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) to inventory software assurance tools and measure effectiveness, identify gaps and conflicts, and develop a plan to eliminate gaps and conflicts
 - NIST SAMATE workshops to assess, measure, and validate tool effectiveness
 - Provide common taxonomy from which to compare capabilities
 - Provide common attack pattern enumeration and classification
 - Develop R&D requirements for DHS S&T consideration; coordinating Software Assurance R&D requirements with other federal agencies
 - Advocate funding of R&D (through the DHS S&T Directorate) that will examine tools and techniques for analyzing software to detect security vulnerabilities.
 - Leverage multi-agency Cyber Security and IA R&D provided to stakeholders.
 - Include techniques that require access to source code & binary-only techniques
 - Collaborate with other agencies and allied organizations to
 - Mature measurement in security to support SwA requirements
 - Explore needs and organizing mechanisms for federated labs



**NCSD Goal Action 2.3.3

Examining IT/Software Security Requirements

- How are common flaws (vulnerabilities) in software addressed in procurements?
- Are existing schemes for product evaluation adequate?
- What test guidance should be provided?
- How should certification and accreditation processes better address security requirements?
- How does acquisition community evaluate capabilities of suppliers to deliver secure software?
- How can measurement be enhanced to better support decisionmaking associated with IT/software security?





SwA Measurement & Tool Evaluation (SAMATE)

* SAMATE Reference Dataset (SRD), version 2, on-line

This dataset will have 1000s of test cases for evaluation and development of SwA tools. Cases will have breadth of

- language (C, Java, UML, etc.)
- life cycle (design model, source code, application, ...)
- size and type (small and huge, production and artificial, ...)
- * Specifications and a reviewed test, including a suite of test cases (from the SRD above) for one class of SwA tool, probably source code scanners.
- * Specifications & test for another class of SwA tool, probably web applications.
- * Establish an advisory committee and create a road map to creating tests for all SwA tools (which tool classes should be done first?).
- * List SwA areas with underdeveloped tools; sketch R&D that could fill each area.
- * Publish at least one major paper on some part of the work done in SAMATE.



Homeland Security

SAMATE project leader, Paul E. Black, paul.black@nist.gov (p.black@acm.org), 100 Bureau Drive, Stop 8970, Gaithersburg, Maryland 20899-8970 voice: +1 301 975-4794, fax: +1 301 926-3696, <u>http://hissa.nist.gov/~black/</u> KC7PKT

Common Attack Patterns Enumeration and Classification (CAPEC)

Service Description

 Supports classification taxonomies to be easily understood and consumable by the broad software assurance community and to be aligned and integrated with the other SwA community knowledge catalogs.

Service Tasks

- Identify and analyze reference Attack Pattern resources from academia, govnt, and industry.
- Define standard Attack Pattern schema.
- Identify and collect potential Attack Pattern seedling instances.
- Finalize scope of effort to clarify number of Attack Patterns to be targeted for initial release.
- Translate Attack Pattern seedling content into the defined schema.
- Analyze and extend Attack Pattern seedlings to fulfill schema.
- Identify set of new Attack Patterns to be authored.
- Author targeted list of new Attack Patterns.
- Map all Attack Patterns to the Common WIFF Enumeration and Classification (CWEC).
- Define a classification taxonomy for Attack Patterns.
- Map Attack Patterns into the defined classification taxonomy.
- Publish content to SwA community, solicit input, collaborate, review, and revise as needed.
- Define process for ongoing extension and sustainment of the CAPEC.
- Provide assistance to design, build, test, and deploy a website for public hosting of CAPEC.



Homeland Security

Common Attack Patterns Enumeration and Classification (CAPEC)

CAPEC Service Deliverables

- Primary catalog deliverable
- Common Attack Pattern Enumeration and Classification XML document
- Attack Pattern schema description document
- Attack Pattern XML schema document
- Attack Pattern Classification Taxonomy XML document
- References list document
- Interim work product deliverables
- Operational Support element deliverables
- Conference/workshop presentations on CAPEC
- CAPEC extension and sustainment process document



Software Security Measurement: A collaboration among US DHS&DoD, UK MOD Australian DMO

- Tasking via Practical Software & Systems Measurement (PSM) Support Center (US Army)
 - PSM Security Measurement White Paper 3 Oct 2005
 - Security Measurement Guidance Documentation -- March 2006 (PSM Technical WG), -- 2 September 2006 (after Users Conf)
 - Safety Measurement White Paper -- December 2005
 - Measurement Specifications Initial set -- March 2006 (at PSM TWG)
 Final Set -- September 2006
 - Security Measurement Training Package 1 May 2006
 - Security Measurement Trials Report -- 1 September 2006



DHS Software Assurance Outreach Services

- Co-sponsor semi-annual Software Assurance Forum for government, academia, and industry to facilitate the ongoing collaboration -- next 16-17 March 2006
- Sponsor SwA issues of CROSSTALK (Oct 05 & Sep 06), and provide SwA articles in other journals to "spread the word" to relevant stakeholders
- Provide free SwA resources via "BuildSecurityIn" portal to promote relevant methodologies
- Provide DHS Speakers Bureau speakers
- Support efforts of consortiums and professional societies in promoting SwA













Volume I Issue 9

December 19, 2005

Software Assurance – The Financial Impact

Background

"Software assurance" has been defined as security being built into software, rather than the prevalent approach of applying after-the-fact fold-on security protection. The federal government, especially the national security agencies, strongly believes that widespread adoption of software assurance practices is vital to assuring the trustworthiness of federally-acquired software. In a prior TargetView (Issee 7, "Software Assurance: Vendors Should Start Taking Notice"), INPUT provided an assessment, from a federal vendor's standpoint, of the advantages and barriers to establishing a software assurance program.

Vendor Highlight Over the lifecycle of a typical software development process, using software assurance would most likely not add to development costs, and in fact would more likely reduce overall costs. - INPUT

Cost is one of the largest perceived barriers associated with adopting a software assurance program. A difficulty facing federal IT vendors seeking to address this issue is the void of publicly available data on the costs associated with establishing and implementing a software assurance program. This is doubly important, given the general skepticism among decision makers on software development costs.

To fill this information void, INPUT has developed first-generation financial models describing the potential impact of software assurance.

Software Lifecycle Costs: The Base Case

An important factor in establishing the relative cost of a software assurance program is to look at costa – including potential savings – across the entire software lifecycle.

In order to compare system development costs with and without software assurance components, INPUT's first step was to develop a base case financial model of the traditional lifecycle, utilizing the assumptions described below. These assumptions are based on commonly accepted ratios in software development. These ratios may differ from

> A publication of INPUT, 10790 Parkitige Bivd., Suite 200, Reston, VA 20191 Phone: 703-707-3500 Fax: 703-707-6201 www.inest.com

> > © 2005 by INPUT. All rights reserved.



Homeland Security



Software Assurance: Vendors Should Start Taking Notice

Background

In October 2005, the Department of Defense (DOD) and Department of Homeland Security (DHS) hosted a conference on Software Assurance for an invited group of agencies, academics and vendors. There were two main topics discussed at the conference:

- 1. Many IT systems are insecure because of serious flaws in software design and implementation.
- Comprehensive software assurance programs, especially within federal national security agencies, are needed to restore trust in computer systems.

Much of the conference was spent making a strong case for the technical bendits produced by a successful software assurance program. There was recognition that the software development processes and technologies were only one piece of the solution. Attendees strongly believed that agency buy-in at the management and program level was also critical for success. The concern being that the federal government did not have the resources or the technical expertise to go-it-alone. Consequently, success required broad support for software assurance from vendors and organizations responsible for the critical infrastructure.

Federal vendors should take notice of these developments for both reactive and proactive reasons. Federal standards are in the process of modifying to support software assurance. Perhaps more importantly for vendors, the acquisition process for software and IT systems may be changed to encourage the acquisition of IT products and services which utilize software assurance.

Independent of the federal government's procurement "push" toward software assurance, there is increasing business justification for vendors' to adopt a software assurance program. This TargetView will focus on the forces driving such justification.

> A publication of INPUT, 10760 Parkridge Bird, Suite 200, Reston, VA 20191 Phone: 703-707-3500 Park 703-707-6201 www.insuit.com

> > © 2005 by INPUT. All rights reserved.

Federal standards are in the process of modifying to support software assurance. Perhaps more importantly for vendors, the acquisition process for software and IT systems may be changed to encourage the acquisition of IT products and services which utilize software assurance. - INPUT



The Impact of Software Assurance on the Procurement Process



Volume I Issue 10

December 30, 2005

The Impact of Software Assurance on the Procurement Process

Background and Introduction

The federal government's software assurance initiative, led by the Software Assurance Program in DHS' National Cyber Security Division, has been gaining traction (see TargetView, Isaue 7, "Software Assurance: Vendors Should Start Taking Notice").

Part of the government's strategy has been to show the benefits and feasibility of software assurance. Realistically, however, there exist roadblocks facing the widespread early adoption of software assurance techniques, notably organizational inertia as well as caution in the face of the unknown. The often-cited cost barrier may, however, be overrated (see INPUT's TargetView, Issue 8, "Software Assurance – The Financial Impact").

"INPUT views incorporating FISMA into FAR as only a first step leading to more detailed changes to procurement practices, which are likely to have significant effects on a wide variety of vendors."

Another important dimension of the government's strategy is leveraging procurement to jumpstart the software

assurance adoption process. INPUT has been closely following federal planning on using the procurement process to reinforce the government's software assurance strategy. This TargetView provides INPUT's assessment of government efforts to date relating to procurement and discusses the some of the potential impacts on vendors.

Federal Government Software Assurance: Objectives and Role

A key assumption in the federal government's software assurance planning is that the government will not produce very much of its own software: Reliance on commercial offthe-shelf (COTS) and outsourcing are widespread, even for agencies that previously were able to rely on isolated, secure IT environments. Within DOD, for example, "networkcentric warfare" assumes interconnectness; in virtually all agencies, the Internet is a fact of life, creating accurity challenges in unexpected places. In addition, national security agencies have come to realize that critical infrastructure organizations (such as first

> A publication of NPUT, 10790 Parkridge Bivd., Suite 200, Reston, VA 20191 Phone: 703-707-3500 Fax: 703-707-6201 www.instit.com

> > © 2005 by INPUT. All rights reserved.



DHS Software Assurance Program

- Program goals promote security for software throughout the lifecycle:
 - Secure and reliable software supporting mission operational resiliency *
 - Better trained and educated software developers using development processes and tools to produce secure software
 - Informed customers demanding secure software, with requisite levels of integrity, through improved acquisition strategies. *
- Program objectives are to:
 - Shift security paradigm from Patch Management to SW Assurance.
 - Encourage the software developers (public and private industry) to raise the bar on software quality and security.
 - Partner with the private sector, academia, and other government agencies in order to improve software development and acquisition processes.
 - Facilitate discussion, develop practical guidance, development of tools, and promote R&D investment.





* Guiding principles in the National Strategy to Secure Cyberspace provide focus on "producing more resilient and reliable information infrastructure," and includes "cyber security considerations in oversight activities."

Software Assurance Observations

Business/operational needs are shifting to now include "resiliency"

- Investments in process/product improvement and evaluation must include security
- Incentives for trustworthy software need to be considered with other business objectives -- measurement needed to better support IT security decision-making
- Pivotal momentum gathering in recognition of (and commitment to) process improvement in acquisition, management and engineering
 - Security requirements need to be addressed along with other functions
 - Software assurance education and training curriculum is a key enabler
- From a national/homeland security perspective, acquisition and development "best practices" must contribute to safety and security
 - More focus on "supply chain" management is needed to reduce risks
 - National & international standards need to evolve to "raise the floor" in defining the "minimal level of responsible practice" for software assurance
 - Qualification of software products and suppliers' capabilities are some of the important risk mitigation activities of acquiring and using organizations
 - In collaboration with industry, Federal agencies need to focus on software assurance as a means of better enabling operational resiliency





Software Assurance Forum on 16-17 March 2006 – Next in Oct 2006





Homeland Security

Joe Jarzombek, PMP Director for Software Assurance National Cyber Security Division Department of Homeland Security Joe.Jarzombek@dhs.gov (703) 235-5126



Homeland Security

Questions?

Back-up Slides

US-CERT Publications on Securing Computers

Before You Connect a New Computer to the Internet

- Tips for first time connecting a new (or newly upgraded) computer to the internet
- For home users, students, small businesses, or any organizations with limited Information Technology (IT) support

Home Network Security Overview of security risks and countermeasures associated with internet connectivity

Home Computer Security

Examples, checklists, and a glossary for securing a home computer

Common Sense Guide to Cyber Security for Small Businesses

- Security practices for non-technical managers at companies with more than a single computer, but without a sophisticated in-house information technology department
- Details of small businesses that were adversely affected by cyber crimes

Virus Basics

An introduction to viruses and ways to avoid them

Software License Agreements: Ignore at Your Own Risk An overview of the risks computer users may incur by blindly agreeing to terms contained in software licensing agreements.







Vulnerabilities and Malware

Vulnerability information

- National Vulnerability Database (NVD) http://nvd.nist.gov
 Search U.S. government vulnerability resources for information about vulnerabilities on your systems
- Common Vulnerabilities and Exposures List (CVE) http://cve.mitre.org
 Search vulnerabilities by CVE name or browse the US-CERT list of vulnerabilities in CVE name order
- Open Vulnerability Assessment Language (OVAL) http://oval.mitre.org
 Identify vulnerabilities on your local systems using OVAL vulnerability definitions

Malware

Common Malware Enumeration (CME) http://cme.mitre.org

Provides single, common identifiers to new virus threats to reduce public confusions during malware outbreaks.






National Vulnerability Database

The National Vulnerability Database (NVD) is vulnerability resource tool co-sponsored by NIST and the DHS National Cyber Security Division/US-CERT, and it:

- Is a comprehensive IT vulnerability database that integrates all publicly available U.S.
 Government vulnerability resources and provides links to industry resources
- Is built upon the CVE standard vulnerability nomenclature and augments the standard with a search engine and reference library
- Provides IT professionals with centralized and comprehensive vulnerability information in order to assist with incident prevention and management to mitigate the impact of vulnerabilities
- Strives to include all industry vulnerability databases, creating a "meta search engine"
- Provides official U.S. Government information on virtually all vulnerabilities
- Provides a fine grained search capability
- Provides user requested vulnerability statistics



Homeland Security

http://nvd.nist.gov

NVD Search Capability

The NVD enables users to search a database containing virtually all known public computer vulnerabilities by a variety of vulnerability characteristics including:

- related exploit range
- software name and version number

vulnerability type, severity, impact

- vendor name
- Updated every 4 minutes, to date, the NVD contains:
- Over 12,800 vulnerability summaries
- 38 US-CERT Alerts
- 1090 US-CERT
 Vulnerability Notes
- Over 1,000 OVAL queries
- 47,000 industry references
- 36 executable Cold Fusion programs



Sponsored by DHS National Cyber Security Division/US-CERT National Vulnerability Database a comprehensive cyber vulnerability resource	
Search CVE, Download CVE, Statistics, Contact, FAQ	
Welcome to NVD!!	CVE Vulnerability Search Engine (Perform Advanced Search)
NVD is a comprehensive cyber security vulnerability database that integrates all publicly available U.S. Government vulnerability resources and provides references to industry resources. It is based on the <u>CVE</u> vulnerability naming standard.	Keyword search: Search Try a product or vendor name Search last or overlaw or ove
Resource Status	U <u>UVAL</u> Queries
NVD contains: 11966 Vulnerabilities 482 US-CERT <u>Alerts</u> 1095 US-CERT <u>Vuln</u> <u>Notes</u> 781 <u>Oval</u> Queries Last updated: 08/07/05 Publication rate: 10. wilderabilities (day	Recent CVE Vulnerabilities CAN-2005-2489 Publish Date: 8/7/2005 Severity: High Web Content Management News System allows remote attackers to create arbitrary accounts and gain privileges via a direct request to Admin/Users/AddModifyInput.php. CAN-2005-2488 Publish Date: 8/7/2005 Severity: Medium Cross-site scripting (XSS) vulnerability in Web Content Management News System allows remote attackers to inject arbitrary web script or HTML via (1) the strRootpath parameter to validsession.php or (2) the strTable parameter to Admin/News/List.php.
20 Control domaios / ddy	

http://nvd.nist.gov



Common Vulnerabilities and Exposures The Standard for Information Security Vulnerability Names

- An international security community activity
 - to provide common names for publicly known security vulnerabilities and exposures

Key tenets

- One name for one vulnerability or exposure
- One standardized description for each
- Existence as a dictionary
- Publicly accessible on the Internet
- Industry participation in open forum (editorial board)
- The CVE list and information at http://cve.mitre.org



12,081 unique CVE names ~350-500 new/month

Open Vulnerability and Assessment Language

Community-based collaboration

- Precise definitions to test for each vulnerability, misconfiguration, policy, or patch
- Standard schema of securityrelevant configuration information
- OVAL schema and definitions freely available for download, public review, and comment
- Security community suggests new definitions and schema
- OVAL board considers proposed schema modifications



1,141 OVAL Definitions

http://oval.mitre.org Public unveiling - December 2002



CME provides single, common identifiers to new virus threats to reduce public confusions during malware outbreaks.

- Assign unique IDs to high profile malware threats
- Create a community forum for sample exchange and deconfliction
- Standardize malware analysis content to provide consistent information to incident responders and enable machine consumption by network management tools

CME is not an attempt to solve the challenges involved with naming schemes for viruses and other forms of malware, but instead aims to facilitate the adoption of a shared, neutral indexing capability for malware. The CME initiative seeks to:

- -- Reduce the public's confusion in referencing threats during malware incidents.
- -- Enhance communication between anti-virus vendors.

-- Improve communication and information sharing between anti-virus vendors and the rest of the information security community.



Homeland Security

Building on CVE and OVAL efforts