

BIKE - Bit-Flipping Key Encapsulation

Presented to the NIST Post-Quantum Cryptography Standardization Conference
April, 13th 2018, Fort Lauderdale, Florida, USA



Authors:

Nicolas Aragon
Paulo S. L. M. Barreto
Slim Bettaieb
Loïc Bidoux
Olivier Blazy
Jean-Christophe Deneuville
Philippe Gaborit
Shay Gueron
Tim Güneysu
Carlos Aguilar Melchor
Rafael Misoczki
Edoardo Persichetti
Nicolas Sendrier
Jean-Pierre Tillich
Gilles Zémor

Affiliations:

University of Limoges, France
University of Washington Tacoma, USA
Worldline, France
Worldline, France
University of Limoges, France
INSA-CVL Bourges and University of Limoges, France
University of Limoges, France
University of Haifa, and Amazon Web Services, Israel
Ruhr-Universität Bochum, and DFKI, Germany,
University of Toulouse, France
Intel Corporation, USA
Florida Atlantic University, USA
INRIA, France
INRIA, France
IMB, University of Bordeaux, France

Agenda



1. BIKE Suite (BIKE-1, BIKE-2 and BIKE-3)
2. Decoding
3. Security
4. Performance
5. Final Remarks

BIKE Suite: BIKE-1, BIKE-2, BIKE-3



Ingredients:

- McEliece encryption framework
- QC-MDPC Codes [MTSB12]
- CAKE [BGGM17], Ouroboros [DGZ17]
- Ephemeral keys

Download:

<http://bikesuite.org>

Design rationale:

- Security:
 - Based on well-known coding problems (MDPC approximates distinguishing to decoding problem)
 - Ephemeral keys defeat recent reaction-attacks against probabilistic decoding [GJS16]
- Efficiency:
 - Quasi-cyclic property: ensures small public keys
 - Simple operations: product and addition of binary polynomials/vector
 - Decoding: bit-flipping decoding techniques
- Several trade-offs were possible, thus we decided to submit a cipher suite with 3 variants

BIKE Suite: Message Protocol



Alice

Bob

1. Generate Ephemeral QC-MDPC key pair (sk, pk)

2. Send (pk)

3. Generate sparse error vector e

4. Derive symmetric key \mathbf{K} from error vector e

5. Encrypt e using pk to produce ciphertext ct

6. Send (ct)

7. Decrypt ct using sk to recover e or \perp

8. Derive symmetric key \mathbf{K} from error vector e

Differences Between BIKE Variants



Let $R = F_2[X]/(X^r - 1)$, r is prime such as $(X^r - 1)/(X - 1)$ is irreducible.
 All quantities are elements of R . The function \mathbf{K} is a hash function.

	BIKE-1	BIKE-2	BIKE-3
SK	(h_0, h_1) with $ h_0 = h_1 = w/2$		
PK	$(f_0, f_1) \leftarrow (gh_1, gh_0)$	$(f_0, f_1) \leftarrow (1, h_1 h_0^{-1})$	$(f_0, f_1) \leftarrow (h_1 + gh_0, g)$
Enc	$(c_0, c_1) \leftarrow (mf_0 + e_0, mf_1 + e_1)$	$c \leftarrow e_0 + e_1 f_1$	$(c_0, c_1) \leftarrow (e + e_1 f_0, e_0 + e_1 f_1)$
	$K \leftarrow \mathbf{K}(e_0, e_1)$		
Dec	$s \leftarrow c_0 h_0 + c_1 h_1 ; u \leftarrow 0$	$s \leftarrow c h_0 ; u \leftarrow 0$	$s \leftarrow c_0 + c_1 h_0 ; u \leftarrow t/2$
	$(e'_0, e'_1) \leftarrow \text{Decode}(s, h_0, h_1, u)$		
	$K \leftarrow \mathbf{K}(e'_0, e'_1)$		

BIKE Suite: Different Trade-Offs



	<i>Latency</i>	<i>Communication</i>		<i>Decoding</i>		<i>Security</i>	
	<i>Fast key generation (inversion-less)</i>	<i>Message 1 (1 element of R)</i>	<i>Message 2 (1 element of R)</i>	<i>Bit Flipping Decoding</i>	<i>Noisy BF Decoding</i>	<i>Codeword Finding + Syndrome Decoding</i>	<i>Syndrome Decoding</i>
BIKE-1	✓			✓		✓	
BIKE-2	*	✓	✓	✓		✓	
BIKE-3	✓	✓**			✓		✓

* : BIKE-2 key generation can have the amortized cost significantly improved by means of batched process.

** : BIKE-3 can send only 1 element of R plus a seed.

Decoding QC-MDPC is Simple



- Bit Flipping Decoding Algorithm [Gal63]:
 1. Compute syndrome from error vector: $s^T \leftarrow eH^T$
 2. Flip bits associated with most unsatisfied parity check equations
 3. Successfully terminate if syndrome is 0; restart from step 1 otherwise
- MDPC probabilistic decoding:
 - Decoding failure rate is small enough for practical purposes
 - Full theoretical analysis is difficult; recent promising results
- BIKE decoding approach:
 - New 2-Steps Bit-Flipping Variant
 - Recent reaction-attack [GJS16] on decoding failures: defeated by ephemeral keys

BIKE has Security Reductions to Well-Known Generic Hard Problems



Theorem:

1. **BIKE-1, BIKE-2** are IND-CPA secure in the Random Oracle Model under the **(2,1)-QCCF** and **(2,1)-QCSD** assumptions.
2. **BIKE-3** is IND-CPA secure in the Random Oracle Model under the **(3,1)-QCSD** and **(2,1)-QCSD** assumptions.

(2,1)-QC Codeword Finding:

Instance: $h \in R$, integer $t > 0$

Property: There exists $c_0, c_1 \in R$ such that $|c_0| + |c_1| = t$ and $c_0 + c_1 h = 0$

(2,1)-QC Syndrome Decoding:

Instance: $s, h \in R$, an integer $t > 0$

Property: There exists $e_0, e_1 \in R$ such that $|e_0| + |e_1| \leq t$ and $e_0 + e_1 h = s$

(3,1)-QC Syndrome Decoding:

Instance: $s_0, s_1, h_0, h_1 \in R$, $t > 0$

Property: There exists $e_0, e_1, e_2 \in R$ s.t. $|e_0| + |e_1| + |e_2| \leq 3t/2$ and $e_0 + e_2 h_0 = s_0$ and $e_1 + e_2 h_1 = s_1$

BIKE Enjoys a Straightforward Practical Security Assessment



- The best techniques to solve codeword finding and syndrome decoding:
 - Variants of Prange's Information Set Decoding (ISD) [Pran62]
 - Work factor of any ISD variant A to decode t errors in a (n, k) -binary code:

$$WF_A(n, k, t) = 2^{ct(1+o(1))}$$

- The quasi-cyclic case:
 - Codeword finding and decoding are a bit easier for quasi-cyclic codes.
 - Adversary gains a factor r for codeword finding and factor \sqrt{r} for decoding
- The best quantum attack against BIKE:
 - Grover's algorithm [Gro96] applied to ISD

Affordable Latency

		Level 1					Level 3					Level 5				
		Reference	Additional		Add. Const. Time		Reference	Additional		Add. Const. Time		Reference	Additional		Add. Const. Time	
		--	AVX2	AVX2512	AVX2	AVX512	--	AVX2	AVX512	AVX2	AVX512	--	AVX2	AVX512	AVX2	AVX512
BIKE-1	KG	0.73	0.09	0.09	0.20	0.19	1.70	0.25	0.25	0.45	0.45	2.98	0.25	0.25	0.67	0.69
	Enc	0.68	0.11	0.11	0.15	0.13	1.85	0.28	0.27	0.36	0.33	3.02	0.29	0.27	0.42	0.36
	Dec	2.90	1.13	1.02	5.30	4.86	7.66	3.57	2.99	16.74	15.26	17.48	2.75	2.24	9.84	8.27
BIKE-2	KG	6.38	4.38	4.38	4.46	4.45	22.20	7.77	7.79	8.04	8.05	58.80	11.99	11.99	12.45	12.34
	Enc	0.28	0.09	0.08	0.12	0.11	0.71	0.17	0.18	0.27	0.23	1.20	0.27	0.25	0.39	0.34
	Dec	2.67	1.12	0.86	5.55	5.12	7.11	2.88	3.48	17.36	15.63	16.38	2.70	2.14	10.74	8.93

Performance in millions of cycles.
Implementation uses NTL Library.

		Level 1	Level 3	Level 5
BIKE-3	KeyGen	0.43	1.10	2.30
	Enc	0.57	1.46	3.25
	Dec	3.43	7.73	18.04

Reference implementation measured on Intel® Core™ i5-6260U CPU @1.80GHz. Additional implementation measured on Intel® Core™ Intel® Xeon® Platinum 8124M CPU @3GHz.

Affordable Bandwidth



		Size	Level 1	Level 3	Level 5
BIKE-1	Message 1	n	2.48	5.34	7.99
	Message 2	n	2.48	5.34	7.99

		Size	Level 1	Level 3	Level 5
BIKE-2	Message 1	r	1.24	2.67	3.99
	Message 2	r	1.24	2.67	3.99

		Size	Level 1	Level 3	Level 5
BIKE-3	Message 1	$r+256$	1.37	2.67	4.44
	Message 2	n	2.69	5.29	8.82

Message sizes given in KBytes.

Final Remarks



- BIKE Suite provides 3 code-based key encapsulation schemes
- Underlying security: well-known hard-problems from coding-theory
- Affordable latency and communication bandwidth
- Simple operations: product/addition of binary vectors + bit flipping

The BIKE suite is secure, simple, efficient and flexible

It offers the various trade-offs needed to meet the heterogeneous requirements of modern cryptographic applications (IoT devices, Internet, Data Centers, ...)



<http://bikesuite.org>

Authors:

Nicolas Aragon
Paulo S. L. M. Barreto
Slim Bettaieb
Loïc Bidoux
Olivier Blazy
Jean-Christophe Deneuveville
Philippe Gaborit
Shay Gueron
Tim Güneysu
Carlos Aguilar Melchor
Rafael Misoczki
Edoardo Persichetti
Nicolas Sendrier
Jean-Pierre Tillich
Gilles Zémor

Affiliation:

University of Limoges, France
University of Washington Tacoma, USA
Worldline, France
Worldline, France
University of Limoges, France
INSA-CVL Bourges and University of Limoges, France
University of Limoges, France
University of Haifa, and Amazon Web Services, Israel
Ruhr-Universität Bochum, and DFKI, Germany,
University of Toulouse, France
Intel Corporation, USA
Florida Atlantic University, USA
INRIA, France
INRIA, France
IMB, University of Bordeaux, France

References



- [BGGM17]: Paulo S. L. M. Barreto, Shay Gueron, Tim Guneysu, Rafael Misoczki, Edoardo Persichetti, Nicolas Sendrier, and Jean-Pierre Tillich. CAKE: Code-based Algorithm for Key Encapsulation. 16th IMA International Conference on Cryptography and Coding. 2017.
- [DGZ17]: Jean-Christophe Deneuville, Philippe Gaborit, Gilles Zémor. Ouroboros: A Simple, Secure and Efficient Key Exchange Protocol Based on Coding Theory. PQCrypto 2017: 18-34
- [Gal63]: R. G. Gallager. Low-Density Parity-Check Codes. PhD thesis, M.I.T., 1963.
- [GJS16]: Qian Guo, Thomas Johansson, and Paul Stankovski. A Key Recovery Attack on MDPC with CCA Security Using Decoding Errors, pages 789, 815. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2016
- [Gro96]: Grover L.K.: A fast quantum mechanical algorithm for database search, Proceedings, 28th Annual ACM Symposium on the Theory of Computing, (May 1996) p. 212
- [MTSB12]: R. Misoczki, J.-P. Tillich, N. Sendrier, and P. L.S.M. Barreto. MDPC McEliece: New McEliece variants from moderate density parity-check codes. In IEEE International Symposium on Information Theory, ISIT'2013, pages 2069, 2073, Istanbul, Turkey, 2013.
- [Pra62]: Eugene Prange. The use of information sets in decoding cyclic codes. IRE Transactions, IT-8:S5 S9, 1962.