Securing Federal Information Systems and Beyond: *NIST Activities and Plans*

Ed Roback Chief, Computer Security Division September 2004

National Institute of Standards and Technology

Cybersecurity at the NIST Information Technology Laboratory

Building Trust and Confidence in IT Systems -- The context of our Security Work

Do systems ----

Operate as intended? Meet user needs? Provide reliable service Pose a threat to human life/limb? Talk to other systems when needed? Protect data against unauthorized change? confidential data against unauthorized disclosure? Operate when/where needed? Protect personal privacy?

Conformity Utility **Reliability** Safety **Interoperability** Security Integrity Confidentiality **Availability** Privacy

NIST Statutory Mandates

Federal Information Security Management Act of 2002

Federal security standards and guidelines Minimum requirements; categorization standards, incident handling, NSS identification, ... Support of ISPAB

Cyber Security Research and Development Act of 2002

Extramural research support Fellowships Intramural research Checklists NRC study support

Non-national security systems

Other Assignments

- HAVA Security of Voting Systems
- Homeland Security Presidential Directive #12

U.S. Federal Security Roles

Unclassified Systems

NIST – standards, guidelines, security research (in-house and academic-industry partnerships)

Federal Information Security Management Act of 2002

Cyber Security Research and Development Act of 2002

DHS – Day-to-day security alerts, operations, etc.

National Cyber Security Division in IAIP

NSF – Academic research support

Cyber Security Research and Development Act of 2002

Classified Systems

A. National Security Systems – "Committee on National Security Systems"

B. Intelligence Systems – Director of Central Intelligence

Cryptographic Standards and Applications

Australia de la commerce Application Australia de la commerce Application Australia de la commerce Application Australia de la commerce Application Applications & Protocols Applications & Protocols	GoalsEstablish secure cryptographic standards for storage and communications & enable cryptographic security services in e-Government applications through electronic authentication and key management protocols.Technical Areas• Secure encryption, authentication, non-repudiation, key establishment, & random number generation algorithms.• Standards & guidance for e-Gov & e-Authentication • PKI standards, interoperability, assurance & scalabilityImpacts• Strong cryptography used in COTS IT products • Standardized PKI & cryptography improves interoperability • Availability of secure applications through cryptography
CollaboratorsIndustry:ANSI X9, IETF PKIX, Baltimore Technologies, Certicom, Cylink, Digital Signature Trust, RSA Security, Entrust Technologies, E-Lock Technologies, Getronics, IBM, ID Certify, Mastercard, Microsoft, Motorola, Netscape, Spyrus, Network Associates, VeriSign, Verizon, Visa, World Talk, public commentersFederal:Department of Treasury, Agencies participating in Federal PKI Steering Committee and Bridge CA Project, FDIC, NSA	Projects • Cryptographic Standards & Guidelines • Cryptographic Standards Toolkit • Key Management Guidance • Modes for Block Cipher Algorithms • Infrastructure & Applications •Industry and Federal Security Standards •Identity Management and e-Authentication •Identity Management Infrastructure •Securing e-Gov Applications With Cryptography •Security Testing for e-Commerce Components

CMVP 9-04 -6

Some specifics...

Upcoming cryptographic-based standards activities

Key Management

• Recommendation on Key Establishment Schemes Using Discrete Logarithm Cryptography Diffie-Hallman and MQV using Finite Field and Elliptic Curve Cryptography Special Publication 800-56: public comment (1Q, FY2005); complete (2Q, FY2005); testing (4Q, FY2005?)

Tentative plans; budget permitting

Key Management (contd.)

- Recommendation for Key Management SP 800-57, Part 1: General (Guidance on using and handling cryptographic material)
 - Public comment (1Q, FY2005); complete (2Q, FY2005)
 - SP 800-57, Part 2: Best Practices for Key Management Organizations
 - Public comment (1Q, FY2005); complete (2Q, FY2005)
 - SP 800-57, Part 3: Application-Specific Key Management Guidance
 - Public comment (2Q, FY2005); complete (3Q, FY2005)

Tentative plans; budget permitting

Block Cipher Modes of Operation

- Authentication Mode (CMAC): SP 800-38B
 Public comment (1Q, FY2005); complete (2Q, FY2005); testing (3Q, FY2005)
- Key Wrapping: SP 800-38D
 Public comment (3Q, FY2005); complete (1Q, FY2006)

Tentative plans; budget permitting

Digital Signature Standard

- Revision of FIPS 186-2
- Public comments (1Q, FY2005); signed by Secretary of Commerce (3Q, FY2005?); testing (4Q, FY2005)

Random Number Generation

- Working in conjunction with ANSI; considering how to recommend for Federal government use
- Complete drafts (4Q, FY2005?); public comments for NIST recommendation (1Q, FY2006?); complete (3Q, FY2006?); testing (4Q, FY2006?)

Research & Emerging Technologies

		 Goals identify & exploit emerging technologies especially infrastructure niches Develop prototypes, reference implementations, and demonstrations Transition new technology and tools to public & private sectors Develop the tests, tools, profiles, methods, and implementations for timely, cost effective evaluation and testing Authorization Management, Access Control, System Management Vulnerability Analysis, Intrusion Detection, Attack Signatures Mobile Code, Agents, Aglets, Java, Smart Cards Models, Cost-models, Prototyping, Reference Implementations Automated Testing, Security Specification Better cheaper and more intuitive methods of authorization management Creating internal competence in emerging technologies (i.e. mobile devices) World class vulnerability search engine RBAC Economic Impact Study
Industry:	Collaborators IBM, Microsoft, SUN, Boeing, Intel, Booz Allen, VDG, SCC, Sybase, SAIC, SUN, Lincoln Labs, Lucent, ISS, Symantec, 3Com, Interlink, Ford, CISCO, Lucent, Checkpoint, CIS, Oracle, MITRE, Network Access Consortium, Intel,	Major Projects Smart Card Infrastructure Wireless/ Mobile Device Security Access Control & Authorization Management Technical Guidance ICAT Vulnerability/Patch Search Tool
Academic: Federal:	SANS Institute U Maryland, Ohio State, U Tulsa, George Mason, Rutgers U, Purdue , George Washington, U of W. Fla, UCSD, UMBC NSA, DoD, NRL, DARPA, DoJ	 IPsec IDS Quantum Computing Support CIP Grants Checklists/Benchmarks

Security Management and Assistance



Security Testing and Metrics		
User Security Needs Product Validation IT Security Metrics Testing and Evaluation	Goals • Improve the security and quality of IT products • Foster development of test methods, tools, techniques, assurance metrics, and security requirements • Promote the development and use of tested and validated IT products • Champion the development and use of national/international IT security standards Technical Areas • Provide Federal agencies, industry, and the public with a proven set of IT security testing methodologies and test metrics • Promote joint work between NIST, the American National Standard Institute (ANSI) and the international standards community Impacts • Timely, cost-effective IT security testing • Increased security in IT systems through availability of tested products • Creates business opportunities for vendors of security products, testing laboratories, and security consultants	
Collaborators	Major Projects	
 Federal: NVLAP, State Dept., DoC, DoD, GSA, NASA, NIST, NSA, DoE, OMB, SSA, USPS, Treasury, VA, DoT, DoJ, FAA Industry: American National Standards Institute (ANSI), InfoGard Laboratories Inc., CygnaCom Solutions, DOMUS IT Security Laboratory, COACT, Inc. CAFÉ Lab, Atlan Laboratories, EWA, Logica Security Consulting, CORSEC Security Inc., Oracle, CISCO, Hewlett-Packard, Lucent, SAIC, Microsoft, Computer Sciences Corp., IBM, EDS, VISA, MasterCard, Amex, Checkpoint, Computer Assoc., RSA, Sun Microsystems, Network Assoc., Booz-Allen Hamilton, Entrust, Silicon Graphics, Arca, AEPOS Technologies Corporation 	 Cryptographic Security Testing Cryptographic Module Validation Program (CMVP) Security Control Development and Information System Certification & Accreditation Laboratory Accreditation (Common Criteria and CMVP) Automated Security Testing and Test Suite Development Protection profile development effort with government/industry Industry Forums Testing, Education, Outreach Programs, Conferences and Workshops 	
Global: Canada, United Kingdom, France, Germany, Korea		

CMVP 9-04 -15

Recently Completed NIST Security Guidelines

- 800-30, Risk Management Guide for Information Technology Systems
- 800-31, Intrusion Detection Systems
- 800-32, Intro to Public Key Technology and Federal PKI Infrastructure
- 800-33, Underlying Technical Models for Information Technology Security
- 800-34, Contingency Planning Guide for Information Technology System
- 800-37, Security Certification and Accreditation
- 800-40, Procedures for Handling Security Patches
- 800-41, Guidelines on Firewalls and Firewall Policy
- 800-44, Guidelines on Securing Public Web Servers
- 800-45, Guidelines on Electronic Mail Security
- 800-46, Security for Telecommuting and Broadband Communications
- 800-47, Security Guide for Interconnecting Information Technology Systems
- 800-48, Wireless Network Security: 802.11, Bluetooth, and Handheld Devices
- 800-55, Security Metrics Guide for Information Technology Systems

Available at http://csrc.nist.gov/publications/nistpubs/index.html

Future guidelines*

- Checklists and Configuration/Hardening Guides (DHS)
- Media Destruction/Sanitization (DHS)
- Risk Management (DHS)
- Incident Exercises (DHS)
- Malware (DHS)
- VOIP
- Forensics Handbook
- Sensor Deployment
- Penetration Testing & Vulnerability Management
- Technical Security Metrics
- Web Services
- IP/Telephony Convergence
- Trust frameworks
- RFID
- Embedded Systems
- Governance

3 High Visibility Projects

- FISMA Trilogy #3 Minimum Standards for all Federal Systems
- CSRDA Checklists
- HSPD #12 Personal Identity Verification

1. FISMA Specific Tasks

- FIPS 199 (completed)
- Mapping Guideline (completed)
- Minimum Standards (draft)
- Identifying National Security Systems (completed)
- Incident Handling (completed)
- Annual Report (completed)

Mandatory Standards for all Federal IT systems

- FISMA set 3 year requirement (12-2005)
- Mandatory
- All Federal systems
- Requirements for each category in FIPS 199
- Guideline in development first (800-53); mandatory standard to follow
- Full range of IT security topics, but fairly high-level

2. NIST Tasked to Develop a Cyber Security Checklist Program

- Cyber Security Research and Development Act of 2002 directs NIST to:
 - Develop, and revise as necessary, a checklist setting forth settings and option selections that minimize the security risks associated with each computer hardware or software system that is, or is likely to become widely used within the Federal Government.
- NIST would set priorities for development

Cyber Security Summit

 Common Configuration Working Group **Report** of the Technical Standards and Common Criteria Task Force, formed at the Department of Homeland Security's first National Cyber Security Summit in 2003, recommended government promotion of the use of a NIST central repository for IT security configuration checklists.

NIST's Response:

- Write guideline for developers and users (800-70)
- Build the repository; populate with current checklists from NIST, NSA, DISA, CIS
- Get participation agreements from vendors and major users
- Assist agencies in using the repository to share and acquire configuration checklists

Operational Environments



Not for Home Users

Managed

Workstations

How will the Program Work?

- Developers follow NIST guidance in creating checklists, e.g., targeted operational environments
- After submission to NIST and initial screening, checklists are publicly reviewed
- Issues are addressed, checklist is listed in repository and maintained by developer
- Developers can use our logo on their products
- Users can provide feedback to NIST and developers



Screening Checklists Prior to Public Review

- NIST screens for applicability, technical merit based on established criteria
- NIST posts candidates for public review
- Comments are provided to the developer
- Issues addressed by the developer before final posting of the checklist
- As necessary, NIST uses independent qualified reviewers

Final Listing of Checklists on Repository

- After all issues get addressed, checklist is listed on repository
- NIST continues to receive user feedback, passes on to developer
- Checklist owner can use the logo on product material with conditions
- Users get advised to test and back up before applying checklists

Checklist Maintenance

- NIST schedules a periodic review of the checklist with developer typically 1 year
- If major update, then checklist is rescreened/resubmitted for public review
- NIST or checklist owner can decide to "delist" the checklist
- Or, checklist can be frozen, i.e., archived, but remain on repository

NIST Checklist Program Logo



- To show participation in NIST Checklist Program and ownership of a checklist on repository
- Available to checklist producers who meet the NIST program requirements
- Producer must provide end-user checklistrelated support
- Does not convey NIST endorsement

Developer Participation Requirements

- Agree to requirements of program
- Create a checklist and submit all materials
- Agree to public review of checklist
- Agree to respond to comments
- For certain checklists, agree to timely updates or withdraw the checklist

Information Technology Laboratory Computer Security Division (CSD) Computer Security Resource Center (CSRC)

Security Checklists for Commercial IT Products



Under the Cyber Security Research and Development Act, NIST is charged with developing security checklists. These checklists describe security settings for commercial IT products.

Security Environment

Security environments are SOHO, Enterprise, High Security, or Custom. Checklists can also be associated with the security as contained in FIPS 199.

Partners

The checklists provided on this website are provided by a wide variety of vendors, government agencies, consortia, non-profit organizations, and user organizations. For a complete list, click here. NIST gratefully acknowledges their contributions and assistance in providing this security service.

Disclaimer

The contents of each checklist is the responsibility of the submitting organization. We encourage users to send comments on specific checklists to the appropriate author.



Search the Security Checklist Database

Search

By specific product name

By security environment

By product type

Results

(list of checklists)

NIST Windows 2000 Special Publication NSA Windows 2000 Security Guide DISA Windows 2000 Security Configuration Guide CIS Windows 2000 Guide – Level 2



National Institute of Standards and Technology

Microsoft Windows 2000

High Security

Operating System

Please Participate!

- NIST encourages vendors to participate
- NIST will encourage agencies to use the checklist repository
- Raise awareness of the program

Current Status

- 800-70 out for comment
- December 1, 2004 Target date for Launch
- Outreach Underway– NCSP, CISWG, ITAA, BSA, etc.



Checklist Program Contact Info

- Tim Grance, grance@nist.gov
- Murugiah Souppaya, murugiah.souppaya@nist.gov
- John Wack, john.wack@nist.gov

• Web site: http://checklists.nist.gov

3. Developing the PIV FIPS

- HSPD #12 Six Month Deadline
- to create a secure and reliable automated system that may be used Government-wide to:
 - 1) establish the authentic true identity of an individual;
 - 2) issue an identity credential token to each authenticated individual containing an "electronic representation" of the identity and the person to whom it is issued which can later be verified using appropriate technical when access to a secure Federal facility or information system is requested;
 - 3) provide graduated criteria that provide appropriate levels of assurance and security to the application;
 - 4) be strongly resistant to identity fraud, counterfeiting, and exploitation by individuals, terrorist organizations, or conspiracy groups;
 - 5) initiate development and use of interoperable automated systems meeting these requirements.

PIV FIPS Development

- PIV TIWG being established OMB/NIST to co-chair Call to CIOs for Participation 9-7-04
- Phase I Initial PIV FIPS Framework (will need fleshing out)
- Phase II Implementation Acceleration Support
- Phase III Interoperability Maintenance Support

Address 🙆 http://csrc.nist.gov/

Information Technology Laboratory

Computer Security Division (CSD)

Computer Security Resource Center (CSRC)



National Institute of Standards and Technology



About CSD:

- Mission Statement
- Projects / Focus Areas
- CSD staff
- Location

CSRC Website:

- New! Security Certification & Accreditation Guidelines
- ASSET
- Awareness, Training and Education
- New! <u>Practices &</u> <u>Checklists</u> <u>Implementation Guide</u>
- Cryptographic Standards Toolkit
- Federal Agencies Security Practices
- ICAT Vulnerability Database
- News
- Policies

ē

- Publications
- Public Key Infrastructure
- Return on Security Investments (ROSI)
- Security Events
 Site Man

Program Areas

CSD's work is grouped into five major categories, described below. A more complete listing of research areas is given <u>here</u>.

Cryptographic Standards and Applications:

Focus is on developing cryptographic methods for protecting the integrity, confidentiality, and authenticity of information resources.....

- Advanced Encryption Standard (AES)
- Cryptographic Standards Toolkit
- Encryption Key Recovery and S/MIME
- Public Key Infrastructure (PKI)

Security Testing:

Focus is on working with government and industry to establish more secure systems and networks by developing, managing and promoting security assessment tools, techniques, services, and supporting programs for testing, evaluation and validation.....

- <u>Automated Security Self-Evaluation</u> <u>Tool (ASSET)</u>
- Cryptographic Module Validation Program (CMVP)
- <u>IPSec</u>
- <u>National Information Assurance</u> <u>Partnership (NIAP)</u>

Security Research (Emerging Technologies:

CSRC Website Highlights

 Would you like to receive e-mail notification(s) when NIST releases new security publications? <u>Click here</u> to learn more about it and how to subscribe to this list.

CSD News:

 September 4, 2003: In the draft <u>Special</u> <u>Publication 800-38C, Recommendation for</u> <u>Block Cipher Modes of Operation: the CCM</u> <u>Mode for Authentication and Confidentiality</u>, the CCM mode of the Advanced Encryption Standard (AES) algorithm is specified for the protection of sensitive, unclassified data. The CCM algorithm combines the counter (CTR) mode for confidentiality with the cipher block chaining-message authentication code (CBC-MAC) technique for authentication. Further information on the development of block cipher modes of operation is available at the modes home page <u>http://nist.gov/modes/</u>.

NIST welcomes public comments on the draft until October 20, 2003; comments may be sent to EncryptionModes@nist.gov.

 August 27, 2003 -- (posted Sept. 2) NIST is requesting that public and private sector organizations, on a voluntary basis, submit their information security practices for inclusion on CSRC's new <u>Public /</u> <u>Private Security Practices (PPSP)</u> website. The PPSP site will

👌 Int

Many Challenges Ahead

- Security Specifications and Testing (PPs/DTRs)
- FIPS for PP Assurance Levels map to FIPS 199
- Finding Malicious Code
- Cryptographic Security for Constrained Environments
- Security Composability
- Protocol security
- Wireless
- Comprehensive Guidance Suite
- Expanded Testing Services
- Certification and Accreditation, Phase II, III

Some examples...

Summary

NIST's cyber security work provides:

- Increased protection against cyber security disruptions;
- Increased trust and confidence in the security of the IT infrastructure leading to increased usage for transactions, increased productivity, and enhanced flexibility of use;
- Improved cyber security for government information systems enhancing the ability of agencies to deliver services electronically and ensuring continuity of operations; and
- Decreased life-cycle costs of government IT