CRYSTALS: (Cryptographic Suite for Algebraic Lattices) Dilithium

Leo Ducas Eike Kiltz

Tancrede Lepoint

Vadim Lyubashevsky

Peter Schwabe

Gregor Seiler

Damien Stehle



www.pq-crystals.org/dilithium

Dilithium

Lattice-based digital signature

Based on Generalized (a.k.a Module)-LWE / SIS problems

For all security levels, only need two main operations:

- 1. SHAKE (or any other XOF)
- 2. Operations in the polynomial ring

 $R = Z_p[X]/(X^{256}+1)$ for prime $p = 2^{23} - 2^{13} + 1$

Dilithium Operations

Basic Computational Domain: Polynomial ring $Z_p[x]/(x^{256}+1)$



Modular Security



to increase the security margin, do more of the same operation



Dilithium Features

- Very simple to implement all sampling is uniform
- It's fast (for all operations) and has the 2nd-smallest pk+sig size (after FALCON)
- Uses NTT for multiplication very fast and can be done in place to reduce stack size
- Lattices over Z_p[X]/(Xⁿ+1) used in concrete schemes since SWIFFT [LMPR '08]. Algebraic lattices since NTRU [HPS '96].
 - The algorithmic framework for cryptanalysis is stable since [S '87] and [AKS '01]. These techniques are being "squeezed out" right now.
 - Some parameter increase due to conservative considerations of "sieving" attacks requiring exponential space

Parameters and Runtime

Quantum Security:	90	128	160
pk size (bytes)	1184	1472	1760
sig size (bytes)	2044	2701	3366
key gen. cycles	110K	156K	221K
verify cycles	110K	155K	220K
sign cycles (median)	315K	440K	465K
sign cycles with 64B sk	345K	475K	496K

* on an Intel Core-i7 6600U (Skylake) CPU using SHAKE as the XOF

Changes from round 1 submission:

- No changes in the design or parameter settings
- Included randomized signing mode in addition to deterministic
- Optimizations of the code (and fixed 1 implementation bug in Dec. 2017)

Dilithium

LWE / SIS - Fiat-Shamir [L '09] + [L '12] + Signature Size Reduction [BG '14] + Public Key Reduction [DKL+ '18]

Dilithium Algorithms

KeyGen() $A \leftarrow R^{5 \times 4}; s_1 \leftarrow [-5, 5]^4, s_2 \leftarrow [-5, 5]^5$ $As_1 + s_2 = t = low(t) + high(t)$ $SK: (s_1, s_2), PK: (A \leftarrow R^{5 \times 4}, high(t))$

Sign(µ)

 $y \leftarrow [-\gamma, \gamma]^4$ $c := H(high(Ay), \mu)$ $z := y + cs_1$ Restart if $|z| > \gamma - \beta$ or $|low(Ay - cs_2)| > \gamma - \beta$ Create a small carry bit hint vector h Signature = (z, c, h)

Verify(z, c, h, μ)

Use **h** and **Az** - c·high(**t**) to reconstruct high(**Az** - c**t**) Verify: **|z|** ≤ γ − β and c=H(high(**Az** - c**t**), μ)

Makes the distribution of z independent of s_i Carry bits caused by ignoring c·low(t)

Security Proof Reduction in the QROM

Tight reduction from:

- 1. LWE
- ST-SIS: given random A,t, find
 μ, short c≠0, z_i satisfying H(Az₁+z₂ ct, μ)=c

In the ROM, ST-SIS = SIS: (with the usual Schnorr-type security loss)

given random **A**, **t**, find short $c \neq 0$, z_i satisfying $Az_1 + z_2 - ct = 0$

Dilithium Security

- 1. In the QROM, *tightly* based on LWE and STSIS [Unr '17, KLS '18]
 - For a ring R with a bigger p, ST-SIS is vacuously hard, so the scheme is based on just LWE in the QROM. Dilithium-Q [KLS '18]
- 2. In the ROM, based on LWE and SIS [L '09, L '12]
- 3. In the QROM, based on the *special-sound* and *collapsing* properties of the underlying interactive protocol [DFMS '19].
 - Special soundness based on SIS [L '12, DKL+ '18]
 - It is conjectured in [DFMS '19] that the Dilithium protocol is collapsing
- 4. In the QROM, the collapsing property is (non-tightly) based on LWE. [LZ '19]

Comparison to qTESLA

same "style" as Dilithium (i.e. uses [L '09]+[L '12]+[BG '14] as a starting point)

but ... qTESLA had an incorrect security argument that bypassed the requirement for SIS to be hard

	qTESLA Round2 128-bit	qTESLA Round2 128-bit	qTESLA Round2 160-bit	qTESLA Round1 128-bit	Dilithium 128-bit
pk size (bytes)	800	2336	38432	2976	1472
sig size (bytes)	2432	2144	5664	2720	2701
	completely broken [LS '19] (attack is faster than real signing)	relies on a version of SIS with much less security than Dilithium	security claims like Dilithium-Q [KLS '18] which is based on only LWE in the QROM parameters for 160-bit Dilithium-Q: pk: 9632 sig: 7098	proof of a stronger claim was wrong, but may have the same security as Dilithium instantiation of [BG '14] – no public key reduction	

Can be made somewhat fast using ideas from e.g. [B '19]. Guess: ≈ 10X slower than Dilithium

Dilithium and FALCON

If the goals are:

- Compactness
- Very easy implementation on all devices

Use Fiat-Shamir signatures with uniform sampling: **Dilithium** If the goal is:

• Maximum Compactness

Use hash-and-sign signatures over NTRU lattices with Gaussian sampling: **FALCON**

	Dilithium (90-bit)	FALCON (100-bit)	Dilithium (128-bit)	Dilithium (160-bit)	FALCON (256-bit)
pk size (bytes)	1184	897	1472	1760	1793
sig size (bytes)	2044	652	2701	3366	1261

Dilithium and FALCON

<u>Dilithium</u>

- + + Fast Verification
- + + Fast Signing
- + + Simple to implement everywhere – particularly important for low-power devices where generic signatures (e.g. SPHINCS) are too slow [KRSS '19]
- + Compact

FALCON

- + + Fast Verification
- + + Fast Signing (if Floating Point Unit is Present)
- + + Very compact
- Very delicate signing procedure – messing up the floating point precision can lead to leaking the secret key
- Emulating the FPU using integer arithmetic can lead to significant slow-downs
- ? How easy is it to mask?

Both schemes serve a purpose

Techniques lead to practical ZK-based privacy primitives

Techniques lead to a practical IBE

CRYSTALS:

(Cryptographic Suite for Algebraic Lattices)

Thank You



www.pq-crystals.org/dilithium

Bibliography

- [AKS '01] Miklós Ajtai, Ravi Kumar, D. Sivakumar. A sieve algorithm for the shortest lattice vector problem. STOC 2001
- [B '19] Dan Bernstein. Comment on the PQC forum Jan. 19, 2019. https://groups.google.com/a/list.nist.gov/forum/#!topic/pqc-forum/VK9dROwgY0Y
- [BG '14] Shi Bai and Steven D. Galbraith. An improved compression technique for signatures based on learning with errors. CT-RSA '14
- [DFMS '19] Jelle Don, Serge Fehr, Christian Majenz, and Christian Schaffner. Security of the Fiat-Shamir transformation in the quantum random-oracle model. CRYPTO 2019
- [DKL+ '18] Léo Ducas, Eike Kiltz, Tancrède Lepoint, Vadim Lyubashevsky, Peter Schwabe, Gregor Seiler, and Damien Stehlé. Crystals-Dilithium: A lattice-based digital signature scheme. CHES 2018
- [HPS '98] Jeffrey Hoffstein, Jill Phipher, Joseph Silverman. NTRU: A Ring-Based Public Key Cryptosystem. ANTS 1998
- [KLS '18] Eike Kiltz, Vadim Lyubashevsky, Christian Schaffner. A Concrete Treatment of Fiat-Shamir Signatures in the Quantum Random-Oracle Model. EUROCRYPT 2018
- [KRSS '19] Matthias J. Kannwischer, Joost Rijneveld, Peter Schwabe, and Ko Stoffelen. pqm4: Testing and Benchmarking NIST PQC on ARM Cortex-M4. Workshop Record of the Second PQC Standardization Conference
- [LMPR '08] Vadim Lyubashevsky, Daniele Micciancio, Alon Rosen, Chris Peikert. SWIFFT: A Modest proposal for FFT hashing. FSE '08
- [LS '19] Vadim Lyubashevsky and Peter Schwabe. Official qTESLA comment on the NIST mailing list. April 14, 2019.
- [LZ '19] Qipeng Liu and Mark Zhandry. Revisiting post-quantum Fiat-Shamir. CRYPTO 2019
- [L '09] Vadim Lyubashevsky. Fiat-Shamir with aborts: Applications to lattice and factoring-based signatures. ASIACRYPT 2009.
- [L '12] Vadim Lyubashevsky. Lattice signatures without trapdoors. EUROCRYPT 2012
- [S'87] Claus-Peter Schnorr. A Hierarchy of Polynomial Time Lattice Basis Reduction Algorithms. Theor. Comp. Sci. 1987
- [Unr '17] Dominique Unruh. Post-quantum security of Fiat-Shamir. ASIACRYPT 2017