FrodoKEM A simple and conservative KEM from generic lattices

Erdem Alkim Joppe W. Bos Léo Ducas <u>Patrick Longa</u> Ilya Mironov Michael Naehrig Valeria Nikolaenko Chris Peikert Ananth Raghunathan Douglas Stebila



FrodoKEM Recap (part I)

FrodoKEM is conservative yet practical

□ Plain LWE: generic, algebraically unstructured lattices

- Minimizes potential attack surface: no algebraic ring structure
- Cautious parameterization: 'medium-sized' errors conforming to a worst-case/average-case reduction
 - Narrower errors ⇒ smaller parameters, better efficiency
- □ Concrete parameters chosen according to 'core-SVP' methodology
 - Lower-bound the first-order exponential time and space of SVP

FrodoKEM Recap (part II)

FrodoKEM has a simple design and implementation

 \Box Matrix-vector products over \mathbb{Z}_q^n with a power-of-2 modulus q

□ Straightforward error sampling: approximation to rounded Gaussian

- E.g., using inversion sampling:
- Table T_{χ} stores (s + 1) integers related to discrete cumulative distribution function
- Given a random value r, determine smallest index i such that $r \leq T_{\chi}[i]$
- Output $(-1)^{b}i$ for a random bit b
- □ x64 implementation consists of ~350 lines of C code (+ existing symmetric primitives)
- □ No use of hand-written assembly: additional implementation only differs by use of vector intrinsics for computing **AS** + **E** and **S'A** + **E'**

FrodoKEM Recap (part III)

□ Two (2) variants

• Uses either AES-128 or SHAKE128 for the generation of a public matrix A

□ Six (6) parameter sets in total:

- FrodoKEM-640-XXX: targets security level 1 (≥ AES-128)
- FrodoKEM-976-XXX: targets security level 3 (≥ AES-192)
- FrodoKEM-1344-XXX: targets security level 5 (≥ AES-256)

Dimension $n \in \{640, 976, 1344\}$, XXX $\in \{AES, SHAKE\}$

List of updates for Round3



KEM decapsulation in constant-time A cautionary tale

Encryption check during decapsulation is arguably the most fragile point of failure in the KEM structure

• Failures are not detected by 'positive' tests

□ Guo et al., CRYPTO 2020: A key-recovery timing attack on postquantum primitives using the Fujisaki-Okamoto transformation and its application on FrodoKEM

• Exploits timing leakage during encryption check

KEM decapsulation in constant-time A cautionary tale

Writing constant-time code can be tricky

• "Traditional" testing is insufficient

```
int8_t ct_verify(const uint16_t *a, const uint16_t *b, size_t len)
{ // Returns 0 if the byte arrays a and b are equal, -1 otherwise.
uint16_t r = 0;
for (size_t i = 0; i < len; i++)
    r |= a[i] ^ b[i];
return (int8_t)(-(int16_t)r >> 15);
}
r = (-(int16_t)(r >> 1) | -(int16_t)(r & 1)) >> 15;
```

KEM decapsulation in constant-time A cautionary tale

What we have added to the code:

□ New 'negative' tests against changes in ciphertext

□ Macros that use Valgrind to check for non-constant time code

• Selection is done at compilation time

□ Tests using clang's address sanitizer and undefined behavior sanitizer

□ All these tests are now run automatically with GitHub Actions

https://github.com/microsoft/PQCrypto-LWEKE

Recent developments (part I)

FrodoKEM, at levels 3 and 5, is recommended by the German Federal Office for Information Security (BSI) as cryptographically suitable for long-term confidentiality protection.

"BSI – Technical Guideline (Cryptographic Mechanisms: Recommendations and Key Lengths)", BSI TR-02102-1, March 2021:

https://www.bsi.bund.de/SharedDocs/Downloads/EN/BSI/Publications/Te chGuidelines/TG02102/BSI-TR-02102-1.pdf?__blob=publicationFile&v=10

We wrote a Python3 reference implementation of FrodoKEM <u>https://github.com/microsoft/PQCrypto-LWEKE</u>

Recent developments (part II)

- M. Polubelova and S. Zanella-Beguelin (2021): Formally verified implementation of FrodoKEM (Round 3) <u>https://github.com/project-everest/hacl-star/tree/master/code/frodo</u>
 - Part of HACL*, a formally verified cryptographic library written in F*

□ Howe et al. 2021 (JCEN): Exploring Parallelism to Improve the Performance of FrodoKEM in Hardware <u>https://eprint.iacr.org/2021/155</u>

- Shows a significant speedup (~15x) on FPGA using Trivium for the generation of the public matrix A
- Shows that FrodoKEM incurs a negligible overhead when adding arithmetic masking to protect decapsulation against first-order side-channel attacks

Recent developments (part III)

Bos et al. 2021: The Matrix Reloaded: Multiplication Strategies in FrodoKEM <u>https://eprint.iacr.org/2021/711</u>

- Faster matrix multiplication using a row-wise blocking and packing (RWCF) approach
- Speedups of 12%, 14% and 16% are achieved for FrodoKEM-640-AES, FrodoKEM-976-AES and FrodoKEM-1344-AES, resp.

Performance results

□ Performance (in 10³ cycles) on an x64 AMD Ryzen 9 3900XT @3.8GHz (Bos et al. 2021)

Parameter set	Level	keygen	encaps	decaps
FrodoKEM-640-AES	1	903	1068	1025
FrodoKEM-976-AES	3	1712	1955	1850
FrodoKEM-1344-AES	5	3017	3363	3221

E.g., one full FrodoKEM execution (at level 1) is completed in **0.79 msec.**, Encaps + Decaps runs in **0.55 msec.**

FrodoKEM A simple and conservative KEM from generic lattices

Erdem Alkim Joppe W. Bos Léo Ducas <u>Patrick Longa</u> Ilya Mironov Michael Naehrig Valeria Nikolaenko Chris Peikert Ananth Raghunathan Douglas Stebila



https://frodokem.org/