HQC: Hamming Quasi-Cyclic

An IND-CCA2 Code-based Public Key Encryption Scheme

June the 8th, 2021 NIST 3rd PQC STANDARDIZATION CONFERENCE https://pqc-hqc.org

C. Aguilar Melchor

ISAE-Supaéro, Univ. Toulouse

- N. Aragon University of Limoges
- S. Bettaieb Worldline
 - L. Bidoux Worldline
 - O. Blazy University of Limoges
 - J. Bos Wordline

J.-C. Deneuville ENAC, University of Toulouse

A. Dion ISAE-Supaéro, Univ. Toulouse
P. Gaborit University of Limoges
J. Lacan ISAE-Supaéro, Univ. Toulouse
E. Persichetti Florida Atlantic University
J.-M. Robert University of Toulon
P. Véron University of Toulon
G. Zémor IMB, University of Bordeaux

Outline

HQC design rationale and recap

- 2 Third round tweaks
- 3 Hardware implementation

HQC Classification / Design Rationale



Important features:

- IND-CPA code-based PKE
- Reduction to a well-known and difficult problem:

Decoding random quasi-cyclic codes

- No hidden trap in the code
- Efficient decoding
- Precise DFR analysis

HQC Encryption Scheme

Encryption scheme in Hamming metric, using Quasi-Cyclic Codes

- Notation: Secret data Public data One-time Randomness
- $\diamond~\textbf{G}$ is the generator matrix of some public code $\mathcal C$

$$\diamond \ \mathcal{S}^n_w(\mathbb{F}_2) = \{ \mathsf{x} \in \mathbb{F}_2^n \text{ such that } \omega(\mathsf{x}) = w \}$$



3rd round tweaks

 \diamond We provided a **better decryption failure analysis** that allows to decrease the size of our public keys.

◊ We switched from the BCH-repetition decoder to a concatenated Reed-Muller and Reed-Solomon (RMRS) decoder.

The size of the decoded messages are set to the security level (i.e dimension 128 instead of 256 for level 1), thus improving the decoding capability of the code.



3rd round parameters and timings

Sizes in bytes

	pk size	ct size	Improvement wrt. 2 nd round
hqc-128	2,249	4,481	28%
hqc-192	4,522	9,026	23%
hqc-256	7,245	14,469	18%

Timings in kilocycles

	AVX2 Implementation			Improvement wrt. 2 nd round			
	Keygen	Encaps	Decaps	Keygen	Encaps	Decaps	
hqc-128	83	197	349	59%	48%	30%	
hqc-192	200	456	740	50%	40%	24%	
hqc-256	400	887	1478	38%	29%	8%	

Hardware implementation

◊ We now only use KECCAK-based random oracles in order to limit software footprint.

- ♦ HLS implementation of HQC: C translated into VHDL by Xilinx tools.
 - Easy to modify, good for quick tests.
 - Compatible with the software KATs.
 - Improvable VHDL by tweaking/replacing modules \rightarrow there is room for improvement.

Hardware performances

Function	Frequency (MHz)	Slices	LUT	FF	BRAM	Cycles	Time (ms)
Keygen	150	3.9k	12k	9k	3	40k	0.27
Encaps	151	5.5k	16k	13k	5	89k	0.59
Decaps	152	6.2k	19k	15k	9	190k	1.2

♦ **Compact version**: 2 times smaller and 10 times slower.

Questions ?

HQC official website and updates: https://pqc-hqc.org/