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## Isogeny-based cryptography

Hard, well-studied number theoretical problems:

- Compute any isogeny between two supersingular elliptic curves
- Compute a degree $d$ isogeny between two supersingular elliptic curves
- Compute the endomorpism ring of a supersingular elliptic curve

These problems seem to be hard even for a quantum computer $\rightarrow$ Isogeny-based cryptography is a viable option for PQC

## SIKE

- SIDH -10 years old
- In SIDH you are given extra information : $\phi(P), \phi(Q)$
- Not a well-studied problem
- Natural question : Study this problem in more detail and see whether this can be exploited
- Torsion-point attacks: Active attacks, reduction to endomorphism ring computation, classical and quantum passive attacks


## Active attack

- Natural question : can you use static keys in SIDH ; Answer: No
- Galbraith-Petit-Shani-Ti : active attack using malformed torsion points
- Attack model : $\alpha$ is Alice's secret Oracle is given $E, E_{B}, P, Q, E^{\prime}$ where $P, Q \in E$ and have order $A$
- Oracle returns true if $E^{\prime} \cong E_{B} /\langle P+\alpha Q\rangle$ otherwise returns false
- Motivation: in SIDH $P=\phi_{B}\left(P_{A}\right), Q=\phi_{B}\left(Q_{A}\right)$ but Alice cannot check whether this is the case (Alice can check the order of $P, Q$ thus can thwart a trivial attack)
- Store already computed bits, in every iteration get one more bit of the secret
- Countermeasures : Fujisaki-Okamoto, k-SIDH, Jao-Urbanik scheme


## Isogeny problem with torsion information

This motivates the study of the follwoing algorithmic problem :
Problem (SSI-T)
Let $\phi$ be a secret isogeny of degree $A$ between supersingular elliptic curves $E_{1}$ and $E_{2}$. Suppose that you know $\phi\left(P_{B}\right)$ and $\phi\left(Q_{B}\right)$. Compute $\phi$

- Goal : give conditions on the relationship between $A, B, p$ for which we can solve this problem in polynomial time (or at least improve on generic meet-in-the-middle)


## Passive torsion-point attacks

- Find a special endomorphism $\theta$ of $E_{0}$ and an integer $d$ such that $\tau=\phi \circ \theta \circ \hat{\phi}+[d]$ is computable
- Computing $\operatorname{ker}(\tau-d) \cap E_{A}[A]$ will return $\hat{\phi}$
- How do you find $\theta$ ?
- Two types of attacks: 1. $E_{0}: y^{2}=x^{3}+x$, 2. backdoor attack


## A tale of three equations

- You can compute $\tau$ if $\operatorname{deg}(\tau)=B e$ where $e$ is small
- Improvements : instead of $B$ one can have $B^{2}$ (using dual information) or $B^{2} p$ (using the Frobenius isogeny)
- One can look for $\theta$ as $c i+b j+a i j$
- $A^{2}\left(a^{2} p+b^{2} p+c^{2}\right)+d^{2}=B e$
- $A^{2}\left(a^{2} p+b^{2} p+c^{2}\right)+d^{2}=B^{2} e$
- $A^{2}\left(a^{2} p+b^{2} p+c^{2}\right)+d^{2}=B^{2} p e$
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Main impact of attacks: polynomial-time key recovery when $p \approx A B$ and $B>A^{5}$

## Backdoor attacks

- Can you generate starting curves from which one can solve SSI-T in polynomial time/faster than meet-in-the-middle?
- Answer: yes
- Whenever $B>A^{2}$ (the condition is independent of $p$ ) then one can generate $(A, B)$-backdoor curves with a polynomial-time key recovery
- When $A \approx B$ then one can generate backdoor curves which beat current attacks
- Backdoor curves are hard to distinguish from random curves


## Quantum hidden shift attack

- SIDH does not admit a similar group action as CSIDH thus is not vulnerable to Kuperberg's subexponential algorithm
- Alternative group action: let $O$ be the endomorphism ring of $E_{0}$, then $(O / A O) *$ acts on curves of distance $A$ from $E_{0}$
- Let $E_{A}=E /\langle A\rangle$ be the secret curve of distance $A$
- Then $\theta * E_{A}:=E=\langle\theta(A)\rangle$; If one chooses a suitable subgroup of $(O / A O)^{*}$ then this action is free and transitive and one can apply a Kuperberg-style attack
- The group action is computable whenever $B>p A^{4}$
- Worse than previous attack but shows previously unknown structure of the problem


## Past, Present,Future

- Torsion-point attacks-5 years
- Impact on balanced SIDH : cannot reuse keys
- Passive attacks do not impact SIKE parameters
- Cryptoanalysis picture is much clearer (or less clear from a different perspective)
- (small) breakthrough : don't use unbalanced variants !
- don't trust starting curves coming from an unknown source
- Future : Combine classical attack with quantum hidden shift attack

