

The attached DRAFT document (provided here for historical purposes) has been superseded by the following publication:

Publication Number: **NIST Internal Report (NISTIR) 7863**

Title: ***Cardholder Authentication for the PIV Digital Signature Key***

Publication Date: **June 2015**

- Final Publication: <https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.IR.7863> (direct link: <http://nvlpubs.nist.gov/nistpubs/ir/2015/NIST.IR.7863.pdf>).
- Information on other NIST Computer Security Division publications and programs can be found at: <http://csrc.nist.gov/>

The following information was posted with the attached DRAFT document:

Dec 13, 2013

NIST IR 7863

DRAFT Cardholder Authentication for the PIV Digital Signature Key

NIST is pleased to announce Draft NIST Interagency Report 7863, *Cardholder Authentication for the PIV Digital Signature Key*, is available for public comment. NISTIR 7863 provides clarification for the requirement in FIPS 201-2 that a PIV cardholder perform an explicit user action prior to each use of the digital signature key stored on the card.

NIST requests comments on NISTIR 7863 by **5:00pm EST on January 17, 2014**. Please submit comments on Draft NISTIR 7863 using the comments template form (see link below for Comment Template) to piv_comments@nist.gov with "Comments on NISTIR 7863" in the subject line.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Cardholder Authentication for the PIV Digital Signature Key

W. Timothy Polk
Hildegard Ferraiolo
David Cooper

29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71

Draft NISTIR 7863

Cardholder Authentication for the PIV Digital Signature Key

W. Timothy Polk
Hildegard Ferraiolo
David Cooper
*Computer Security Division
Information Technology Laboratory*

December 2013



U.S. Department of Commerce
Penny Pritzker, Secretary

National Institute of Standards and Technology
Patrick D. Gallagher, Under Secretary of Commerce for Standards and Technology and Director

72
73

National Institute of Standards and Technology Interagency Report 7863
9 pages (December 2013)

76

77

Certain commercial entities, equipment, or materials may be identified in this document in order to describe an experimental procedure or concept adequately. Such identification is not intended to imply recommendation or endorsement by NIST, nor is it intended to imply that the entities, materials, or equipment are necessarily the best available for the purpose.

82

83

84

There may be references in this publication to other publications currently under development by NIST in accordance with its assigned statutory responsibilities. The information in this publication, including concepts and methodologies, may be used by Federal agencies even before the completion of such companion publications. Thus, until each publication is completed, current requirements, guidelines, and procedures, where they exist, remain operative. For planning and transition purposes, Federal agencies may wish to closely follow the development of these new publications by NIST.

85

86

87

Organizations are encouraged to review all draft publications during public comment periods and provide feedback to NIST. All NIST Computer Security Division publications, other than the ones noted above, are available at <http://csrc.nist.gov/publications>.

88

89

90

Public comment period: *December 13, 2013 through January 17, 2014*

91

92

93

94

National Institute of Standards and Technology
Attn: Computer Security Division, Information Technology Laboratory
100 Bureau Drive (Mail Stop 8930) Gaithersburg, MD 20899-8930
Email: piv_comments@nist.gov

95

Reports on Computer Systems Technology

96 The Information Technology Laboratory (ITL) at the National Institute of Standards and Technology
97 (NIST) promotes the U.S. economy and public welfare by providing technical leadership for the Nation's
98 measurement and standards infrastructure. ITL develops tests, test methods, reference data, proof of
99 concept implementations, and technical analyses to advance the development and productive use of
100 information technology. ITL's responsibilities include the development of management, administrative,
101 technical, and physical standards and guidelines for the cost-effective security and privacy of other than
102 national security-related information in Federal information systems.

103

104

105

106

107

Abstract

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

Keywords

118

119

personal identification number; personal identity verification; PIN caching; PIV

120
121
122
123
124
125
126
127
128
129
130

Table of Contents

1. INTRODUCTION	1
2. BACKGROUND	1
3. ARCHITECTURES	2
4. MINIMUM SECURITY OBJECTIVES & CONTROLS	2
4.1 Per-Transaction PIN Entry	2
4.2 Explicit User Action with PIN Caching	2
APPENDIX A— ACRONYMS	4
APPENDIX B— REFERENCES	4

131 **1. Introduction**

132 FIPS 201 defines the Personal Identity Verification (PIV) Card and supporting process
133 requirements. A private cryptographic key, the digital signature key (DSK), is specified for
134 digitally signing messages and data. The DSK is generated on the card and is never exported; all
135 operations with this private key are performed by the PIV Card. FIPS 201 requires authentication
136 of the cardholder via “explicit user action” each time the DSK is used to perform a cryptographic
137 operation.

138 Special Publication 800-73 specifies the “PIN ALWAYS” access condition for the DSK to ensure
139 that the PIN is submitted to the card for each requested cryptographic operation. However, FIPS
140 201 does not mandate the use of card readers with integrated PIN entry pads. As a result, the PIV
141 Card itself cannot differentiate between a freshly supplied PIN and a PIN cached by the calling
142 application or system.

143 This specification clarifies the requirement for “explicit user action” and specifies a range of
144 implementation options that satisfy this requirement, in order to ensure a consistent and reliable
145 level of security.

146 **2. Background**

147 The digital signature key (DSK) is intended to sign data, such as electronic forms, documents or
148 electronic mail. Operations using the DSK can be performed after the PIV Card has been
149 activated. To protect the DSK against misuse after activation, each subsequent private key
150 operation requires an explicit user action.

151 The PIV Card incorporates a single mechanism for both authenticating the cardholder and
152 expressing explicit user action: entry of the user PIN.¹ The user PIN must be presented to the PIV
153 Card to activate the card for privileged operations or to perform a signing operation with the
154 DSK. If the user is prompted for the PIN, and that value is presented to the PIV Card for each
155 operation, the requirement for explicit user action is clearly satisfied. This ensures that the user is
156 present and intended to generate a signature with the DSK each time a signature generation
157 operation is performed. However, ensuring that the PIN is re-entered by the user for each
158 signature operation requires system level controls outside the boundaries of the PIV Card.

159 In addition, for some applications it is considered impractical to require the cardholder to enter
160 the PIN for each signature. For example, users may be required by policy to sign every email
161 message. When the user is sending a large number of email messages in a short period, repeatedly
162 entering the PIN greatly decreases usability. In such cases, the application or middleware can be
163 designed to retain (or cache) the smart card PIN and present it on behalf of the user. However,
164 caching the PIN may allow the application or middleware to present the PIN without the
165 cardholder’s knowledge.

166 The following sections identify possible architectures for systems that use the DSK, and define
167 the minimum security objectives and controls that constitute *explicit user action* for the PIN
168 ALWAYS access condition of the DSK.

169

¹ FIPS 201-2 introduces the option for PIV Cards to implement on-card fingerprint biometric comparison, in addition to the PIN, as a mechanism to authenticate the cardholder to the card, however, the recommendations in this document only apply to the PIN.

170 3. Architectures

171 There are two basic configurations for a PIV compliant system that leverages the DSK:

- 172 (1) A computing system can be designed so that the PIN is never exposed to the operating
173 system, middleware, or applications. The components of this system are the host
174 computer with an external smart card reader with keypad, and the PIV Card. In this case,
175 the PIN is submitted from the user “directly” to the PIV Card. The application or
176 operating system cannot cache the PIN so enforcing the PIN ALWAYS requirement is
177 sufficient to confirm explicit user action for each DSK private key operation.
- 178 (2) A computing system can be designed so that the PIN is entered via the host computer’s
179 keyboard. The components of this system are the host computer system with an internal
180 or external smart card reader without keypad, and the PIV Card. In this case, the PIN is
181 entered into the keyboard and is processed by the operating system, middleware, or
182 application before submission to the PIV Card.

183 In configuration (1), it is the external reader’s responsibility to ensure that the PIN is supplied to
184 the PIV Card as a result of an explicit action. In configuration (2), the host system software, in
185 combination, has the responsibility to ensure that the PIN is supplied to the PIV Card as a result
186 of an explicit action.

187 The following section specifies implementation guidelines for PIV system components.

188 4. Minimum Security Objectives & Controls

189 This section specifies minimum security controls and objectives for system implementations that
190 leverage the DSK to ensure that each presentation of the PIN represents an explicit user action.
191 Section 4.1 describes functional requirements for systems that prohibit PIN caching. Section 4.2
192 specifies the minimum security objectives and controls for system implementations that support
193 DSK PIN caching.

194 4.1 Per-Transaction PIN Entry

195 Entering the PIN each time is the most direct and strongest mechanism to achieve *explicit user*
196 *action* for the PIN ALWAYS access condition of the DSK. This can be achieved by any of the
197 following methods:

- 198 (1) PIN entry is performed exclusively using an external card reader with key pad,² and the
199 card reader itself does not cache the PIN; or
- 200 (2) PIN entry is performed using the host system, and the operating system, middleware, and
201 all applications are configured so that the PIN is never cached.

202 In combination with the PIV Card’s native controls, both solutions confirm user action for each
203 DSK private key operation simply by enforcing the PIN ALWAYS requirement.

204 4.2 Explicit User Action with PIN Caching

205 If the PIN is cached by any component of the system, it is the system’s responsibility to ensure an
206 *explicit user action* occurs before the PIN is presented to the PIV Card. Examples of affirmative
207 action could include clicking “OK” in a pop-up box or by user selection of an appropriate menu
208 option or commit button within the application.

² Note that the card reader needs to intercept and discard any APDUs that contain the PIN originating from the host system.

- 209 PIN caching implementations for the DSK should ensure that the following are satisfied:
- 210 1. The PIN cache is limited to a single process or application instance. That is, a PIN
211 presented by an email application to digitally sign email is not accessible to other
212 applications resident on the host system, such as workflow or document signing
213 applications.
- 214 2. The cached PIN is not presented to the smart card without an associated *explicit user*
215 *action*. The explicit user action may be handled by either of the following methods:
- 216 a. The component that confirms the *explicit user action* is the component that
217 caches the PIN (e.g., the application or the middleware); or
- 218 b. The programming interface between the component that confirms the *explicit*
219 *user action* and the component that caches the PIN can convey the difference
220 between a request associated with *explicit user action* and a request that was not
221 associated with an *explicit user action*.
- 222 3. The PIN cache is cleared if any of the following conditions apply:
- 223 a. The system is shut down or rebooted;
- 224 b. The PIV Card is removed from the system;
- 225 c. The associated process or application instance is terminated; or
- 226 d. The PIN has not been presented to the PIV Card for more than N minutes. (In
227 other words, no more than N minutes have passed since the user performed an
228 explicit action authorizing a signature.) The value of N is an agency (or
229 ICAMSC) decision but should not exceed thirty minutes.

230 Appendix A—Acronyms

231	APDU	Application Protocol Data Unit
232	DSK	Digital Signature Key
233	FIPS	Federal Information Processing Standard
234	ICAMSC	Identity, Credential, and Access Management Subcommittee
235	ITL	Information Technology Laboratory
236	NIST	National Institute of Standards and Technology
237	NISTIR	National Institute of Standards and Technology Interagency Report
238	PIN	Personal Identification Number
239	PIV	Personal Identity Verification
240	SP	Special Publication

241 Appendix B—References

242	[FIPS201]	Federal Information Processing Standard 201-2, <i>Personal Identity Verification (PIV)</i>
243		<i>Federal Employees and Contractors</i> , August 2013.
244	[SP800-73]	Draft NIST Special Publication 800-73-4, <i>Interfaces for Personal Identity</i>
245		<i>Verification</i> .