Withdrawn Draft

Warning Notice

The attached draft document has been withdrawn, and is provided solely for historical purposes. It has been superseded by the document identified below.

Withdrawal Date March 20, 2020

Original Release Date October 9, 2019

Superseding Document		
Status	Final	
Series/Number	NIST Special Publication 800-140E	
Title	CMVP Approved Authentication Mechanisms: CMVP Validation Authority Requirements for ISO/IEC 19790 Annex E and ISO/IEC 24579 Section 6.17	
Publication Date	March 2020	
DOI	https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-140E	
CSRC URL	https://csrc.nist.gov/publications/detail/sp/800-140e/final	
Additional Information	FIPS 140-3 Transition Effort	
	https://csrc.nist.gov/projects/fips-140-3-transition-effort/fips-140-3- docs	



1 2	Draft NIST Special Publication 800-140E
3	CMVP Approved Authentication Mechanisms:
5 6	CMVP Validation Authority Requirements for ISO/IEC 19790:2012 Annex E and ISO/IEC 24759:2017
7 8 9	Kim Schaffer
10 11	
12 13 14	
15 16	INFORMATION SECURITY
17 18	



19	Draft NIST Special Publication 800-140E
20	
21	CMVP Approved Authentication
22	Mechanisms:
23 24	<i>CMVP Validation Authority Requirements for ISO/IEC</i> 19790:2012 Annex E and ISO/IEC 24579:2017
25	
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36	Kim Schaffer Computer Security Division Information Technology Laboratory
37 38 39	October 2019
40 41 42	Sometiment OF COMMITTING Sometime Sometime Somet
43 44 45 46 47 48	U.S. Department of Commerce Wilbur L. Ross, Jr., Secretary National Institute of Standards and Technology Walter Copan, NIST Director and Under Secretary for Standards and Technology

Authority

50 This publication has been developed by NIST in accordance with its statutory responsibilities under the

51 Federal Information Security Modernization Act (FISMA) of 2014, 44 U.S.C. § 3551 *et seq.*, Public Law (P.L.) 113-283. NIST is responsible for developing information security standards and guidelines, including

53 minimum requirements for federal information systems, but such standards and guidelines shall not apply

54 to national security systems without the express approval of appropriate federal officials exercising policy

authority over such systems. This guideline is consistent with the requirements of the Office of Management

56 and Budget (OMB) Circular A-130.

57 Nothing in this publication should be taken to contradict the standards and guidelines made mandatory and 58 binding on federal agencies by the Secretary of Commerce under statutory authority. Nor should these 59 guidelines be interpreted as altering or superseding the existing authorities of the Secretary of Commerce, 60 Director of the OMB, or any other federal official. This publication may be used by nongovernmental 61 organizations on a voluntary basis and is not subject to copyright in the United States. Attribution would, 62 however, be appreciated by NIST.

National Institute of Standards and Technology Special Publication 800-140E

Natl. Inst. Stand. Technol. Spec. Publ. 800-140E, 18 pages (October 2019)

CODEN: NSPUE2

63

64 65

00

66

67 Certain commercial entities, equipment, or materials may be identified in this document in order to describe an 68 experimental procedure or concept adequately. Such identification is not intended to imply recommendation or 69 endorsement by NIST, nor is it intended to imply that the entities, materials, or equipment are necessarily the best 70 available for the purpose.

71 There may be references in this publication to other publications currently under development by NIST in accordance 72 with its assigned statutory responsibilities. The information in this publication, including concepts and methodologies, 73 may be used by federal agencies even before the completion of such companion publications. Thus, until each 74 publication is completed, current requirements, guidelines, and procedures, where they exist, remain operative. For 75 planning and transition purposes, federal agencies may wish to closely follow the development of these new 76 publications by NIST.

Organizations are encouraged to review all draft publications during public comment periods and provide feedback to
 NIST. Many NIST cybersecurity publications, other than the ones noted above, are available at
 https://csrc.nist.gov/publications.

80
81 Public comment period: October 9, 2019 through December 9, 2019
82 National Institute of Standards and Technology
83 Attn: Computer Security Division, Information Technology Laboratory
84 100 Bureau Drive (Mail Stop 8930) Gaithersburg, MD 20899-8930
85 Email: sp800-140-comments@nist.gov
86 All comments are subject to release under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA).

i

Reports on Computer Systems Technology

88 The Information Technology Laboratory (ITL) at the National Institute of Standards and 89 Technology (NIST) promotes the U.S. economy and public welfare by providing technical 90 leadership for the Nation's measurement and standards infrastructure. ITL develops tests, test 91 methods, reference data, proof of concept implementations, and technical analyses to advance the 92 development and productive use of information technology. ITL's responsibilities include the development of management, administrative, technical, and physical standards and guidelines for 93 94 the cost-effective security and privacy of other than national security-related information in federal 95 information systems. The Special Publication 800-series reports on ITL's research, guidelines, and outreach efforts in information system security, and its collaborative activities with industry, 96 97 government, and academic organizations.

98

Abstract

Keywords

99 NIST Special Publication (SP) 800-140E replaces the approved authentication mechanism

100 requirements of ISO/IEC 19790 Annex E. As a validation authority, the Cryptographic Module

101 Validation Program (CMVP) may supersede this Annex in its entirety with its own list of

approved authentication mechanisms. This document supersedes ISO/IEC 19790 Annex E and

103 ISO/IEC 24759 paragraph 6.17.

authentication; Cryptographic Module Validation Program; CMVP; FIPS 140 testing; FIPS 140;
 ISO/IEC 19790; ISO/IEC 2759; testing requirement; vendor evidence; vendor documentation.

107

104

108 Audience

109 This document is focused toward the vendors, testing labs, and CMVP for the purpose of

110 addressing issues in cryptographic module testing.

112		Table of Contents			
113	1	Scope	1		
114	2	Normative references			
115	3	Terms and definitions			
116	4	Symbols and abbreviated terms1			
117	5	Document organization2			
118		5.1 General	2		
119		5.2 Modifications	2		
120	6	CMVP-approved authentication mechanism requirements	2		
121		6.1 Purpose	2		
122		6.2 Approved authentication mechanisms	2		
123		6.3 Memorized secrets	3		
124		6.3.1 Memorized secret authenticators	3		
125		6.3.2 Memorized secret module requirements	3		
126		6.3.3 Memorized secret usability	4		
127		6.4 Biometrics	5		
128		6.4.1 Biometrics usability considerations	6		
129		6.5 Cryptographic software or device	7		
130		6.5.1 Single-factor cryptographic software	7		
131		6.5.2 Single-factor cryptographic device	8		
132		6.5.3 Multi-factor cryptographic software	9		
133		6.5.4 Multi-factor cryptographic devices	10		
134					

136 **1 Scope**

- 137 This document specifies the Cryptographic Module Validation Program (CMVP) modifications
- 138 of the methods to be used by a Cryptographic and Security Testing Laboratory (CSTL) to
- 139 demonstrate conformance. This document also specifies the modification of methods for
- evidence that a vendor or testing laboratory provides to demonstrate conformity. The approved
- sensitive security parameter generation and establishment methods specified in this document
- supersede those specified in ISO/IEC 19790 Annex E and ISO/IEC 24759 paragraph 6.17.

1432Normative references

- 144 This section identifies additional references to the normative references cited in ISO/IEC 19790
- and ISO/IEC 24759. For dated references (e.g., ISO/IEC 19790:2012/Cor.1:2015(E)), only the
- edition cited applies. For undated references (e.g., ISO/IEC 19790), the latest edition of the
- 147 referenced document (including any amendments) applies.
- 148 National Institute of Standards and Technology (2019) Security Requirements for
- 149 Cryptographic Modules. (U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, DC), Federal
- 150 Information Processing Standards Publication (FIPS) 140-3.
- 151 <u>https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.FIPS.140-3</u>

152 3 Terms and definitions

- 153 The following terms and definitions supersede or are in addition to ISO/IEC 19790 and ISO/IEC154 24759:
- 155 *Authenticator*: The means used to confirm the identity of a user, processor, or device (e.g.,
- 156 user password or token). Often referred to as a token, this document aligns with much of SP
- 157 800-63B and so uses authenticator to reduce confusion.
- 158 4 Symbols and abbreviated terms
- 159 The following symbols and abbreviated terms supersede or are in addition to ISO/IEC 19790 and
- 160 ISO/IEC 24759 throughout this document:
- 161 CCCS Canadian Centre for Cyber Security
- 162CMVPCryptographic Module Validation Program
- 163 CSD Computer Security Division
- 164CSTLCryptographic and Security Testing Laboratory
- 165FIPSFederal Information Processing Standard
- 166 FISMA Federal Information Security Management/Modernization Act

- 167NISTNational Institute of Standards and Technology
- 168 SP 800-XXX NIST Special Publication 800 series document
- 169 TE Test Evidence
- 170 VE Vendor Evidence

5 Document organization

172 **5.1 General**

- 173 Section 6 of this document replaces the approved authentication mechanisms requirements of
- 174 ISO/IEC 19790 Annex E and ISO/IEC 24759 paragraph 6.17. While this document serves a
- 175 different purpose, much of the authentication is purposely meant to align with SP 800-63B,
- 176 which is a good informative reference for managing authentication.

177 **5.2 Modifications**

- 178 Modifications will follow a similar format as in ISO/IEC 24579. For additions to test
- 179 requirements, new Test Evidence (TEs) or Vendor Evidence (VEs) will be listed by increasing
- 180 the "sequence_number." Modifications can include a combination of additions using <u>underline</u>
- 181 and deletions using strikethrough. If no changes are required, the paragraph will indicate "No
- 182 change."

183 6 CMVP-approved authentication mechanism requirements

184 **6.1 Purpose**

- 185 This document includes all requirements for CMVP-approved authentication mechanisms. These
- 186 requirements are in addition to and do not replace authentication requirements specified in
- 187 ISO/IEC 19790:2012.

188 **6.2** Approved authentication mechanisms

- 189 Approved authentication mechanisms include memorized secrets, biometrics, cryptographic
- 190 software or devices, and multifactor (combining two mechanisms). These mechanisms may be
- 191 used as indicated in Table 1. Requirements for these mechanisms are provided below.

192

Table 1 - Authentication mechanism permitted at FIPS 140-3 security levels

FIPS 140-3 Level	Authentication
Level 1	None required—may be implicit. If authentication is used, it should meet the requirements of Level 2 as a minimum.
Level 2	One factor: • Memorized secret • Biometric • Cryptographic software or device
Level 3	One factor: • Memorized secret • Biometric • Cryptographic software or device
Level 4	Two factors: Memorized secret with either: • Biometric • Cryptographic software or device or Biometric with: • Cryptographic software or device

194 6.3 Memorized secrets

195 Commonly referred to as a password or, if numeric, a PIN, a memorized secret authenticator is a 196 secret value intended to be chosen and memorized by the user. Memorized secrets need to be of

sufficient complexity and secrecy that it would be impractical for an attacker to guess or

198 otherwise discover the correct secret value. A memorized secret is something you know.

199 6.3.1 Memorized secret authenticators

200 Memorized secrets SHALL be at least 8 characters in length if chosen by the operator.

201 Memorized secrets chosen randomly by the module SHALL be at least 6 characters in length and

202 MAY be entirely numeric. If the module disallows a chosen memorized secret based on its

appearance on a blacklist of compromised values, the operator SHALL be required to choose a

204 different memorized secret. No other complexity requirements for memorized secrets SHOULD

205 be imposed.

206 6.3.2 Memorized secret module requirements

207 Module SHALL require operator-chosen memorized secrets to be at least 8 characters in length.

208 A module SHOULD permit operator-chosen memorized secrets at least 64 characters in length.

209 All printing ASCII [RFC 20] characters, as well as the space character, SHOULD be acceptable

- 210 in memorized secrets. Unicode [ISO/IEC 10646] characters SHOULD be accepted as well. To
- 211 make allowances for likely mistyping, a module MAY replace multiple consecutive space

- characters with a single space character prior to verification, provided that the result is at least 8
- 213 characters in length. Truncation of the secret SHALL NOT be performed. For purposes of the
- above length requirements, each Unicode code point SHALL be counted as a single character.
- 215 If Unicode characters are accepted in memorized secrets, the module SHOULD apply the
- 216 Normalization Process for Stabilized Strings using either the NFKC or NFKD normalization
- 217 defined in Section 12.1 of Unicode Standard Annex 15 [UAX 15]. This process is applied before
- 218 hashing the byte string representing the memorized secret. Operators choosing memorized
- 219 secrets containing Unicode characters SHOULD be advised that some characters may be
- 220 represented differently, which can affect their ability to authenticate successfully.
- 221 The Module SHALL implement controls to protect against guessing attacks. Unless otherwise
- specified in the description of a given authenticator, the verifier SHALL limit consecutive failed
- authentication attempts on a single account to no more than 100.
- Additional techniques MAY be used to reduce the likelihood that an attacker will lock the legitimate claimant out as a result of rate limiting. These include:
- Requiring the claimant to complete a CAPTCHA before attempting authentication
- Requiring the claimant to wait following a failed attempt for a period of time that increases as the account approaches its maximum allowance for consecutive failed attempts (e.g., 30 seconds up to an hour)
- Accepting only authentication requests that come from a whitelist of IP addresses from
 which the subscriber has been successfully authenticated before
- Leveraging other risk-based or adaptive authentication techniques to identify user
 behavior that falls within or out of typical norms
- When the subscriber successfully authenticates, the verifier SHOULD disregard any previousfailed attempts for that user from the same IP address.
- 236 6.3.3 Memorized secret usability
- 237 Aid users to create and change memorized secrets:
- Clearly communicate information on how to create and change memorized secrets.
- Clearly communicate memorized secret requirements as specified in Section 6.3.1.
- Allow at least 64 characters in length to support the use of passphrases. Encourage users to make memorized secrets as lengthy as they want using any characters they like (including spaces), thus aiding memorization.
- Do not impose other composition rules (e.g., mixtures of different character types) on
 memorized secrets.
- Do not require that memorized secrets be changed arbitrarily (e.g., periodically) unless
 there is a user request or evidence of authenticator compromise.

- 247 Provide clear, meaningful, and actionable feedback when chosen passwords are rejected (e.g.,
- 248 when it appears on a "blacklist" of unacceptable passwords or has been used previously).

249 6.4 Biometrics

- 250 A trusted channel between sensor (or an endpoint containing a sensor that resists sensor
- 251 replacement) and module SHALL be established, the sensor or endpoint SHALL be established,
- and the sensor or endpoint SHALL be authenticated prior to capturing the biometric sample from
- the claimant.
- 254 The biometric system SHALL operate with an FMR [ISO/IEC 2382-37] of 1 in 1000 or better.
- 255 This FMR SHALL be achieved under conditions of a conformant attack (i.e., zero-effort
- 256 impostor attempt) as defined in ISO/IEC 30107-1.
- 257 The biometric system SHOULD implement PAD. Testing of the biometric system to be
- deployed SHOULD demonstrate at least 90% resistance to presentation attacks for each relevant
- attack type (i.e., species) where resistance is defined as the number of thwarted presentation
- attacks divided by the number of trial presentation attacks. Testing of presentation attack
- resistance SHALL be in accordance with Clause 12 of ISO/IEC 30107-3. The PAD decision
- 262 MAY be made either locally on the claimant's device or by a central module.
- 263 Note: PAD is being considered as a mandatory requirement in future editions.
- The biometric system SHALL allow no more than 5 consecutive failed authentication attempts or 10 consecutive failed attempts if PAD is implemented meeting the above requirements. Once that limit has been reached, the biometric authenticator SHALL either:
- Impose a delay of at least 30 seconds before the next attempt, increasing exponentially
 with each successive attempt (e.g., 1 minute before the following failed attempt, 2
 minutes before the second following attempt), or
- Disable the biometric user authentication and offer another factor (e.g., a different biometric modality or a PIN/Passcode if it is not already a required factor) if such an alternative method is already available.
- The module SHALL make a determination of sensor and endpoint performance, integrity, and authenticity. Acceptable methods for making this determination include but are not limited to:
- Authentication of the sensor or endpoint
- Certification by an approved accreditation authority
- Runtime interrogation of signed metadata (e.g., attestation)
- 278 Information conveyed MAY include but is not limited to:
- The provenance (e.g., manufacturer or supplier certification), health, and integrity
 of the authenticator and endpoint
- 281 Security features of the authenticator

- 282 Security and performance characteristics of biometric sensor(s)
- 283 Sensor modality
- If this attestation is signed, it SHALL be signed using a digital signature that
 provides at least the minimum-security strength specified in the latest revision of
 SP 800-131A (i.e., 112 bits as of the date of this publication).
- 287 6.4.1 Biometrics usability considerations

This section provides a high-level overview of general usability considerations for biometrics. A
 more detailed discussion of biometric usability can be found in *Usability & Biometrics, Ensuring Successful Biometric Systems* NIST Usability.

- Although there are other biometric modalities, the following three biometric modalities are more commonly used for authentication: fingerprint, face, and iris.
- 293 Typical Usage

- For all modalities, user familiarity and practice with the device improves performance.
- Device affordances (i.e., properties of a device that allow a user to perform an action),
 feedback, and clear instructions are critical to a user's success with the biometric device.
 For example, provide clear instructions on the required actions for liveness detection.
- Ideally, users can select the modality they are most comfortable with for their second authentication factor. The user population may be more comfortable and familiar with—
 and accepting of—some biometric modalities than others.
- User experience with biometrics as an activation factor:
- Provide clear, meaningful feedback on the number of remaining allowed attempts.
 For example, for rate-limiting (i.e., throttling), inform users of the time period
 they have to wait until the next attempt to reduce user confusion and frustration.
- **•** Fingerprint usability considerations:
 - Users have to remember which finger(s) they used for initial enrollment.
- 307 O The amount of moisture on the finger(s) affects the sensor's ability for successful capture.
- Additional factors influencing fingerprint capture quality include age, gender, and
 occupation (e.g., users handling chemicals or working extensively with their
 hands may have degraded friction ridges).
- Face usability considerations:
- 313•Users have to remember whether they wore any artifacts (e.g., glasses) during314enrollment because it affects facial recognition accuracy.
- 315
 Differences in environmental lighting conditions can affect facial recognition accuracy.

- Facial expressions affect facial recognition accuracy (e.g., smiling versus neutral expression).
- Facial poses affect facial recognition accuracy (e.g., looking down or away from the camera).
- Iris usability considerations:
- 322 Wearing colored contacts may affect iris recognition accuracy.
- 323 Users who have had eye surgery may need to re-enroll post-surgery.
- 324 O Differences in environmental lighting conditions can affect iris recognition accuracy, especially for certain iris colors.
- 326 Intermittent Events

As biometrics are only permitted as a second factor for multi-factor authentication, usability
 considerations for intermittent events with the primary factor still apply. Intermittent events with
 biometrics use include but are not limited to the following, which may affect recognition

- accuracy:
- If users injure their enrolled finger(s), fingerprint recognition may not work. Fingerprint
 authentication will be difficult for users with degraded fingerprints.
- The time elapsed between the time of facial recognition for authentication and the time of
 the initial enrollment can affect recognition accuracy as a user's face changes naturally
 over time. A user's weight change may also be a factor.
- Iris recognition may not work for people who have had eye surgery unless they re-enroll.
- An alternative authentication method must be available and functioning. In cases where
 biometrics do not work, allow users to use a memorized secret as an alternative second
 factor.
- Provisions for technical assistance:
- Clearly communicate information on how and where to acquire technical
 assistance. For example, provide users information such as a link to an online
 self-service feature and a phone number for help desk support. Ideally, provide
 sufficient information to enable users to recover from intermittent events on their
 own without outside intervention.
- 346
 347
 Inform users of factors that may affect the sensitivity of the biometric sensor (e.g., cleanliness of the sensor).
- 348 6.5 Cryptographic software or device
- **349 6.5.1 Single-factor cryptographic software**
- A single-factor software cryptographic authenticator is a cryptographic key stored on a disk or some other "soft" media. Authentication is accomplished by proving possession and control of
- the key. The authenticator output is highly dependent on the specific cryptographic protocol, but

it is generally some type of signed message. The single-factor software cryptographic

354 authenticator is *something you have*.

355 **6.5.1.1** Single-factor cryptographic software authenticator

356 Single-factor software cryptographic authenticators encapsulate one or more secret keys unique

to the authenticator. The key SHALL be stored in suitably secure storage available to the

358 authenticator application (e.g., keychain storage, TPM, or TEE, if available). The key SHALL be

359 strongly protected against unauthorized disclosure by the use of access controls that limit access

360 to the key to only those software components on the device requiring access. Single-factor 361 cryptographic software authenticators SHOULD discourage and SHALL NOT facilitate the

361 cryptographic software authenticators SHOULD discourage and SHALL NOT facilitate 362 cloning of the secret key onto multiple devices.

363 6.5.1.2 Single-factor cryptographic software module

The requirements for a single-factor cryptographic software module are identical to those for a single-factor cryptographic device module. See 6.5.2.2.

366 **6.5.1.3** Single-factor cryptographic software usability considerations

367 Usability considerations for typical usage include:

Give cryptographic keys appropriately descriptive names that are meaningful to users since users have to recognize and recall which cryptographic key to use for which authentication task. This prevents users from having to deal with multiple similarly and ambiguously named cryptographic keys. Selecting from multiple cryptographic keys on smaller mobile devices may be particularly problematic if the names of the cryptographic keys are shortened due to reduced screen size.

374 6.5.2 Single-factor cryptographic device

A single-factor cryptographic device is a hardware device that performs cryptographic operations using protected cryptographic key(s) and provides the authenticator output via direct connection to the user endpoint. The device uses embedded symmetric or asymmetric cryptographic keys and does not require activation through a second factor of authentication. Authentication is accomplished by proving possession of the device via the authentication protocol. The authenticator output is provided by direct connection to the user endpoint and is highly dependent on the specific cryptographic device and protocol, but it is typically some type of

382 signed message. A single-factor cryptographic device is *something you have*.

383 **6.5.2.1** Single-factor cryptographic device authenticator

384 Single-factor cryptographic device authenticators encapsulate one or more secret keys unique to

the device that SHALL NOT be exportable (i.e., cannot be removed from the device). The

- 386 authenticator operates by signing a challenge nonce presented through a direct computer
- interface (e.g., a USB port). Alternatively, the authenticator could be a suitably secure processor
- integrated with the user endpoint itself (e.g., a hardware TPM). Although cryptographic devices

- 389 contain software, they differ from cryptographic software authenticators in that all embedded
- 390 software is under the control of the issuer, and the entire authenticator is subject to all applicable
- 391 FIPS 140 requirements at the security level being authenticated.
- 392 The secret key and its algorithm SHALL provide at least the minimum-security length specified
- 393 in the latest revision of SP 800-131A (112 bits as of the date of this publication). The challenge
- 394 nonce SHALL be at least 64 bits in length. Approved cryptography SHALL be used.
- 395 Single-factor cryptographic device authenticators SHOULD require a physical input (e.g., the
- 396 pressing of a button) in order to operate. This provides defense against unintended operation of
- 397 the device, which might occur if the endpoint to which it is connected is compromised.
- 398 **6.5.2.2** Single-factor cryptographic device module interface
- 399 Single-factor cryptographic device module interface generates a challenge nonce, sends it to the
- 400 corresponding authenticator, and uses the authenticator output to verify possession of the device.
- 401 The authenticator output is highly dependent on the specific cryptographic device and protocol,
- 402 but it is generally some type of signed message.
- 403 The module interface has either symmetric or asymmetric cryptographic keys corresponding to
- 404 each authenticator. While both types of keys SHALL be protected against modification,
- 405 symmetric keys SHALL additionally be protected against unauthorized disclosure.
- 406 The challenge nonce SHALL be at least 64 bits in length and SHALL either be unique over the
- 407 authenticator's lifetime or statistically unique (i.e., generated using an approved random bit
- 408 generator [SP 800-90Ar1]). The verification operation SHALL use approved cryptography.
- 409 **6.5.3** Multi-factor cryptographic software
- 410 A multi-factor software cryptographic authenticator is a cryptographic key stored on a disk or
- 411 some other "soft" media that requires activation through a second factor of authentication.
- 412 Authentication is accomplished by proving possession and control of the key. The authenticator
- 413 output is highly dependent on the specific cryptographic protocol, but it is generally some type of
- 414 signed message. The multi-factor software cryptographic authenticator is *something you have*,
- 415 and it SHALL be activated by either *something you know* or *something you are*.

416 **6.5.3.1** *Multi-factor cryptographic software authenticators*

- 417 Multi-factor software cryptographic authenticators encapsulate one or more secret keys unique to
- the authenticator and accessible only through the input of an additional factor, either a
- 419 memorized secret or a biometric. The key SHOULD be stored in suitably secure storage
- 420 available to the authenticator application (e.g., keychain storage, TPM, TEE). The key SHALL
- 421 be strongly protected against unauthorized disclosure by the use of access controls that limit
- 422 access to the key to only those software components on the device requiring access. Multi-factor
- 423 cryptographic software authenticators SHOULD discourage and SHALL NOT facilitate the
- 424 cloning of the secret key onto multiple devices.

- 425 Each authentication operation using the authenticator SHALL require the input of both factors.
- 426 Any memorized secret used by the authenticator for activation SHALL be a randomly chosen
- 427 numeric value at least 6 decimal digits in length or other memorized secret meeting the
- 428 requirements of Section 6.3.1 and SHALL be rate-limited as specified in Section 6.3.2. A
- 429 biometric activation factor SHALL meet the requirements of Section 6.4, including limits on the
- 430 number of consecutive authentication failures.
- 431 The unencrypted key and activation secret or biometric sample—and any biometric data derived
- 432 from the biometric sample, such as a probe produced through signal processing—SHALL be
- 433 zeroized immediately after an authentication transaction has taken place.

434 **6.5.3.2** *Multi-factor cryptographic software verifiers*

435 The requirements for a multi-factor cryptographic software verifier are identical to those for a

436 single-factor cryptographic device verifier as described in Section 6.5.2.2. Verification of the

437 output from a multi-factor cryptographic software authenticator proves use of the activation

438 factor.

439 **6.5.3.3** *Multi-factor cryptographic software usability*

In order to authenticate, users prove possession and control of the cryptographic key stored on a
disk or some other "soft" media that requires activation. The activation is through the input of a
second authentication factor—either a memorized secret or a biometric. Usability considerations
for the additional factor apply as well. See Section 6.3.3 for memorized secrets and Section 6.4.1
for biometrics used in multi-factor authenticators.

- 445 Usability considerations for typical usage include:
- Give cryptographic keys appropriately descriptive names that are meaningful to users since users have to recognize and recall which cryptographic key to use for which authentication task. This prevents users from having to deal with multiple similarly and ambiguously named cryptographic keys. Selecting from multiple cryptographic keys on smaller mobile devices may be particularly problematic if the names of the cryptographic 451 keys are shortened due to reduced screen size.

452 **6.5.4** Multi-factor cryptographic devices

453 A multi-factor cryptographic device is a hardware device that performs cryptographic operations

454 using one or more protected cryptographic keys and requires activation through a second

- 455 authentication factor. Authentication is accomplished by proving possession of the device and
- 456 control of the key. The authenticator output is provided by direct connection to the user endpoint 457 and is highly dependent on the specific cryptographic device and protocol, but it is typically
- 457 and is highly dependent on the specific cryptographic device and protocol, but it is typically 458 some type of signed message. The multi-factor cryptographic device is *something you have*, and
- 459 it SHALL be activated by either *something vou know* or *something vou are*.

460 **6.5.4.1** *Multi-factor cryptographic device authenticators*

461 Multi-factor cryptographic device authenticators use tamper-resistant hardware to encapsulate 462 one or more secret keys unique to the authenticator and accessible only through the input of an 463 additional factor—either a memorized secret or a biometric. The authenticator operates by 464 signing a challenge nonce presented through a direct computer interface (e.g., a USB port). 465 Alternatively, the authenticator could be a suitably secure processor integrated with the user 466 endpoint itself (e.g., a hardware TPM). Although cryptographic devices contain software, they 467 differ from cryptographic software authenticators in that all embedded software is under the 468 control of the issuer, and the entire authenticator is subject to any applicable FIPS 140

- 468 control of the issuer, and the entire authenticator is subject to 469 requirements.
- 470 The secret key and its algorithm SHALL provide at least the minimum-security length specified
- in the latest revision of SP 800-131A (112 bits as of the date of this publication). The challenge
- 472 nonce SHALL be at least 64 bits in length. Approved cryptography SHALL be used.
- 473 Each authentication operation using the authenticator SHOULD require the input of the

474 additional factor. Input of the additional factor MAY be accomplished either via direct input on

475 the device or via a hardware connection (e.g., USB, smartcard).

476 Any memorized secret used by the authenticator for activation SHALL be a randomly chosen

- 477 numeric value at least 6 decimal digits in length or other memorized secret meeting the
- 478 requirements of Section 6.3.1 and SHALL be rate-limited as specified in Section 6.3.2. A
- 479 biometric activation factor SHALL meet the requirements of Section 6.4, including limits on the
- 480 number of consecutive authentication failures.
- 481 The unencrypted key and activation secret or biometric sample—and any biometric data derived
- 482 from the biometric sample, such as a probe produced through signal processing—SHALL be
- 483 zeroized immediately after an authentication transaction has taken place.

484 **6.5.4.2** *Multi-factor cryptographic device verifiers*

485 The requirements for a multi-factor cryptographic device verifier are identical to those for a

486 single-factor cryptographic device module interface as described in Section 6.5.2.2. Verification

487 of the authenticator output from a multi-factor cryptographic device proves use of the activation

488 factor.

489 **6.5.4.3** *Multi-factor cryptographic device usability*

490 Users authenticate by proving possession of the multi-factor cryptographic device and control of

491 the protected cryptographic key. The device is activated by a second authentication factor—

492 either a memorized secret or a biometric. Usability considerations for the additional factor apply

493 as well. See Section 6.3.3 for memorized secrets and Section 6.4.1 for biometrics used in multi-

494 factor authenticators.

- 495 Usability considerations for typical usage include:
- Do not require users to keep multi-factor cryptographic devices connected following authentication. Users may forget to disconnect the multi-factor cryptographic device when they are done with it (e.g., forgetting a smartcard in the smartcard reader and walking away from the computer).
- 500•Users need to be informed regarding whether the multi-factor cryptographic501•device is required to stay connected or not.
- Give cryptographic keys appropriately descriptive names that are meaningful to users since users have to recognize and recall which cryptographic key to use for which authentication task. This prevents users being faced with multiple similarly and ambiguously named cryptographic keys. Selecting from multiple cryptographic keys on smaller mobile devices (such as smartphones) may be particularly problematic if the names of the cryptographic keys are shortened due to reduced screen size.
- Limited availability of a direct computer interface like a USB port could pose usability difficulties. For example, laptop computers often have a limited number of USB ports, which may force users to unplug other USB peripherals to use the multi-factor cryptographic device.

Document Revisions

Date	Change