

The Re-use of CMVP Results within a CC Evaluation

Common Criteria Evaluation Methodology for Cryptography

Pamela Grannum PEng

Communications Security Establishment

pamela.grannum@cse-cst.gc.ca



Communications Security Establishment





Introduction

To describe a methodology of re-using CMVP results within a CC evaluation.

Background Development of the Methodology Overview Issues Question Session







Background

Canadian CCS labs and CMVP labs are *both* accredited to ISO/IEC 17025

CC: a set of *security functions* and *assurance criteria* used to evaluate security properties of IT products and systems

CMVP: a *validation test* for cryptographic algorithms and modules







Can results of the CMVP tests be fully accepted into the CC evaluation?

Can the assurance measures be mapped from CMVP to CC?

Can the security functions be mapped from CMVP to CC?

Is integration testing required?

Anything else?







Development of a Methodology

Initial studies:

- Comparison Analysis
- Impact of FIPS 140-1 & FIPS 140-2 on CC evaluations







Comparison of FIPS 140-1 & FIPS 140-2 to CC

Assurance Class	FIPS 140-1	FIPS 140-2
Configuration management	Partially Met	Partially Met
Delivery and operation	Not Met	Partially Met
Development	Met with Interpretation	Met with Interpretation
Guidance documents	Partially Met	Partially Met
Life cycle support	Not Met	Not Met
Tests	Met with Interpretation	Met with Interpretation
Vulnerability assessment	Partially Met	Partially Met







Cryptographic Operation in the CC

FCS_COP.1 Cryptographic operation

FCS_COP.1.1 The TSF shall perform [assignment: list of cryptographic operations] in accordance with a specified cryptographic algorithm [assignment: cryptographic algorithm] and cryptographic key sizes [assignment: cryptographic key sizes] that meet the following: [assignment: list of standards].







Cryptographic Key Access in the CC

FCS_CKM.3 Cryptographic key access

FCS_CKM.3.1 The TSF shall perform [assignment: type of cryptographic key access] in accordance with a specified cryptographic key access method [assignment: cryptographic key access method] that meets the following: [assignment: list of standards].







CMVP Requirements restraints on CC Evaluation

- •Non-FIPS approved operating mode
- •Different operating system than the validation
- •Cryptographic Algorithms







Common Criteria Evaluation Verification

As verified by independent evaluator, analysis and testing TOE security requirements have to be: effective at solving the security problem defined for the environment and

correctly implemented in the product







Cryptographic Algorithm Validations

CMVP-Recognised

Cryptographic Algorithms

Canadian Government – Recognised Cryptographic Algorithms



Communications Security Establishment





Re-use of CMVP module validation results

FIPS 140-1/FIPS 140-2 results can be reused in CC evaluation *if these conditions met*:

Certificate is valid for the exact version of the TOE/TOE component cryptographic module **and**

OS configuration is consistent with evaluated configuration







Issues

• Non-CMVP algorithms and key management standards







Summary

CMVP Algorithm validations

can be accepted without further testing

Module validations

not necessarily accepted without further testing







Any Questions?





Communications Security Establishment





Thank you for your attention.

Pamela Grannum P Eng

Communications Security Establishment





Communications Security Centr Establishment des te

