



IT Sector perspectives on the Cybersecurity Framework

Danielle Kriz
Director, Global Cybersecurity Policy

Briefing for NIST Internet Security and Privacy Advisory Board
October 23, 2014





ITI's Work on Cybersecurity

- **ITI's cybersecurity work and perspective is global**
 - **ITI engages in DC and in capitals around the world (Beijing, Delhi, Brussels, Seoul, Tokyo...)**
- **To be effective, any efforts to improve cybersecurity must:**
 - Leverage public-private partnerships and build upon existing initiatives and resource commitments;
 - Reflect the borderless, interconnected, and global nature of today's cyber environment;
 - Be able to adapt rapidly to emerging threats, technologies, and business models;
 - Be based on effective risk management;
 - Focus on raising public awareness; and
 - More directly focus on bad actors and their threats.



Perspective on Framework - Generally

- Framework leverages public-private partnerships, is based on sound risk management principles, and will help preserve innovation because it is flexible and based on global standards
- Global, voluntary, consensus-based standards, guidelines, and best practices are essential tools to manage cybersecurity risk
- Many organizations voluntarily use these standards and best practices – but others don't... they likely may not know where to start or where to go next
- The Framework aims to bridge this information gap
- We hope to see much greater voluntary use of standards and best practices that can make a difference at the individual organization's level, collectively raising all boats
- The Framework will help improve cybersecurity, and we are committed to helping it succeed



Perspective on Framework – Since Release

- NIST/administration have correctly focused on raising awareness of Framework and how it can be used to manage cyber risks
 - ITI and our companies are seeing growing awareness domestically and internationally
 - ITI companies getting inquiries from their customers
- We also are seeing different types of “use”
 - ITI companies are seeing important, valuable internal impacts
- Ancillary benefits - workshops and related events have fostered and/or augmented cross-sectoral discussions and collaborations
- Framework was released only eight months ago
 - We are just at the beginning of a tremendous multi-year effort
 - Goal is not use or adoption of Framework- goal is managing cyber risks and improving resilience
 - Answers from RFI helpful- to sharing initial lessons and prioritizing next steps
 - But answers likely will change as awareness and use of tools continues to grow



IT Sector Activities Around Framework

- ITI as an association
 - Outreach to international audiences: Korea, Japan, China, India, Israel, Germany, UK to date, more planned
 - October 1, ITI “Cybersecurity Summit” in Washington DC
- ITI member activities
 - Holding webinars, holding and speaking at events, arranging for NIST to speak at events
 - Speaking with the media
 - Directly engaging in policy outreach with foreign government policymakers
 - Developing or mapping ICT products and services to the Framework to help others use it
 - Issuing white papers, blogs, marketing materials and collaterals
- *IT SCC chosen not to issue implementing guidance*



What next?

- **Gov't and industry both need to double down on outreach and awareness**
 - **SMBs, internationally, even interagency**
 - **Promote industry-developed guidance**
- **Clarify key points**
 - **Voluntary, based on existing standards and best practices, cybersecurity as part of business risk management**
- **Manage narrative and expectations**
- **Roadmap – good topics, but some initial concerns RE supply chain, conformity assessment, technical privacy standards**
- **Don't move yet to Framework v 2.0 – too early**
 - **Need time to understand v 1.0**
- **Other valuable NIST and DOC roles outside of CI (dust off 2011 Green Paper)**