Provably Secure FFT Hashing (+ comments on "probably secure" hash functions)

Vadim Lyubashevsky Daniele Micciancio (University of California, San Diego)

Chris Peikert

Alon Rosen (Harvard University)

Our Hash Function A (Very) High Level Description

Key: 3 random polynomials
Input: 3 polynomials with small coefficients
Function: compute sum of products

 All arithmetic performed modulo p and βⁿ+1 (β is the indeterminate in the polynomials)
 Function is very efficient, parallelizable, and provably collision-resistant.

Efficiency and Security

Efficiency:

- Input has b bits
- O(b log(b)) time to compute the hash

Security (2 modes of the function):

- Bulk mode"
 - Large output
 - Finding collisions at least as hard as solving a certain lattice problem in the worst case.
- "Nano mode"
 - Small output
 - Same structure as the bulk mode
 - Finding collisions equivalent to solving a certain (different) lattice problem in the average case

Diffusion and Confusion

<u>Confusio</u>

Output Space



Diffusion and Confusion

For Diffusion, we use the Fast Fourier Transform Idea already appeared in [S91,S92,SV93] For Confusion, simply use linear combinations By using results in [M02,PR06,LM06], we can build a provably secure compression function.

Performing the Compression (Step 0, Entering Input)



Compressing a string of length mn (m=3)
 Each x_{i,j} is in {0,...,d}
 So domain is of size (d+1)^{mn} ((d+1)³ⁿ)
 All operations performed in the field Z_p (p>>d)

Performing the Compression (Step 1, Diffusion)



Step 1: multiply x_{i,j} by w^{j-1}
 (Just a trick to do multiplication modulo βⁿ+1)
 w is an element in Z^{*}_p of order 2n
 Thus, w² is a primitive nth root of unity in Z^{*}_p

Performing the Compression (Step 2, Diffusion)



Step 2: Compute the Fast Fourier Transform of each grouping
 Use w² as the primitive nth root of unity in Z^{*}_p
 y_{i,j}=Σ_{1≤k≤n}(x_{i,j}w^{j-1})w^{2j(k-1)}

Performing the Compression (Step 3, Confusion)



Step 3: Multiply y_{i,j} by a_{i,j}
 The a_{i,j} are uniformly random in Z_p
 They are the hash function key

Performing the Compression (Step 4, Confusion)



Step 4: $z_j = \sum_{1 \le i \le n} a_{i,j} y_{i,j}$ Output size: pⁿ

Equivalent Hash Function

Input: x₁,...,x_m in Z_p[β]/<βⁿ+1> (m=3)
 Each coefficient of x_i is in {0,...,d}
 Hash key: a₁,...,a_m in Z_p[β]/<βⁿ+1>
 Output: z = a₁x₁+...+a_mx_m

This function is completely equivalent security-wise to the one presented and it's much easier to understand.

Security Guarantee

Input: $x_{1},...,x_{m}$ in $Z_{p}[\beta]/\langle \beta^{n}+1 \rangle$ (m=3) • Each coefficient of \mathbf{x}_i is in $\{0, ..., d\}$ • Hash key: $a_1,...,a_m$ in $Z_p[\beta]/\langle \beta^n+1\rangle$ Output: $z = a_1 x_1 + ... + a_m x_m$ Theorem [M02,PR06,LM06]: For appropriate values of p,n,d,m, finding a collision for random a_1, \dots, a_m implies solving the approximate Shortest Vector Problem for all lattices in a certain class.

The Function in Practice ("Bulk Mode")

Can build a compression function whose security is based on a worst-case problem It's efficient, but ... the output is big. Sample parameters and security: ■ Domain: ≈ 65,000 bits **Range:** \approx 28,000 bits Security: Finding collisions implies approximating Shortest Vector to within factor $\approx 2^{32}$ in any 1024 dimensional lattice in a certain class of lattices. Could be used to hash large files, but impractical for other purposes

Why such a large range?

Recall the hash function: Input: $\mathbf{x}_{1}, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{m}$ in $Z_{p}[\beta]/\langle \beta^{n}+1 \rangle$ • Each coefficient of \mathbf{x}_i is in $\{0, ..., d\}$ Domain is of size $(d+1)^{mn}$ (mn lg(d+1) bits) • Hash key: $a_1,...,a_m$ in $Z_p[\beta]/\langle \beta^n+1\rangle$ • Output: $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{a}_1 \mathbf{x}_1 + \dots + \mathbf{a}_m \mathbf{x}_m$ Range is of size pⁿ (n lg(p) bits) In the proof of security, p has to be large

Making the Range Smaller

Making the range smaller: Make p smaller Still the same structure as provably secure function Lose proof of security, but finding collisions still seems to be hard By lowering p, can get: Domain=1024 bits, Range=513 bits Finding collisions is equivalent to a certain averagecase (no longer worst-case) lattice problem

Equivalent Lattice Problem

Let $a = (a_1, ..., a_n)$ be a random vector (0≤ $a_i < p$). Define Rot(a) as:



Equivalent Lattice Problem



Equivalent Lattice Problem

Hardness of SVP for previous lattice depends on what Rot(g_i) is.
If Pot(g) is as we defined it, then finding collisions in the back

If Rot(g_i) is as we defined it, then finding collisions in the hash function is equivalent to finding a vector in the lattice with inf. norm ≤ d

Note: If Rot(g_i) is a random matrix, then we get a version of a well-studied (and believed to be hard) problem
 Great for security ... but we don't know how to make efficient hash function equivalent to the hardness of that problem

To get equivalency to an efficient hash function, Rot(g_i) needs to have some "algebraic structure".

Algebraic Structure of B

- The lattice generated by B has a lot of "algebraic" structure.
- The structure does not seem to be useful for standard lattice algorithms (e.g. LLL)
- But other attacks exploiting the structure may be possible (for example, defining Rot(a) slightly differently makes the SVP problem very easy).
- But the fact that we have a proof that works for larger values of p gives some evidence that the algebraic structure is not exploitable for smaller p's as well

Sample Parameters for Hash Function

Input: x₁,...,x_m in Z_p[β]/<βⁿ+1>

 Each coefficient of x_i is in {0,...,d}

 Hash key: a₁,...,a_m in Z_p[β]/<βⁿ+1>

 Output: z = a₁x₁+...+a_mx_m

n=64, m=8, d=3, p=257
Domain=1024 bits, Range=513 bits
Takes ≈ 15 times longer than SHA-256 (we're in the initial stages of implementation)

Conclusion

Presented an approach for using FFT to construct efficient, provably collision-resistant hash functions.

Using this approach:

- Constructed an efficient hash function, which may be useful for hashing large files, whose security is based on a worst-case problem.
- Constructed an efficient hash function whose security is based on an average-case lattice problem.

Comments on Probably Secure Hash Functions

LASH-k (from this workshop)
 k = output length (e.g. k=160,256,384,512)

We can break compression function for e.g. k=232, 368, 1056, 2096, 10248,...

"Lunch-time" attack ... literally