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# Our Hash Function A (Very) High Level Description 

- Key: 3 random polynomials

Input: 3 polynomials with small coefficients
$\lrcorner$ Function: compute sum of products
All arithmetic performed modulo $p$ and $\beta^{n}+1$ ( $\beta$ is the indeterminate in the polynomials)

- Function is very efficient, parallelizable, and provably collision-resistant.


## Efficiency and Security

## Efficiency:

- Input has b bits
- $O(b \log (b))$ time to compute the hash

Security (2 modes of the function):

- "Bulk mode"

Large output
Finding collisions at least as hard as solving a certain lattice problem in the worst case.

- "Nano mode"
- Small output

Same structure as the bulk mode

- Finding collisions equivalent to solving a certain (different) lattice problem in the average case


## Diffiusion and Confusion



## Diffusion and Confusion

$\rightarrow$ For Diffusion, we use the Fast Fourier Transform
Idea already appeared in [S91,S92,SV93]

- For Confusion, simply use linear combinations
$\lrcorner$ By using results in [M02,PR06,LM06], we can build a provably secure compression function.


## Performing the Compression (Step 0, Entering Input)

## $\begin{array}{llll}x, 1, & x, 2 & \cdots & x \\ x_{1, n}\end{array}$ <br> $\begin{array}{llll}x, 2] & x+2, & \cdots & x \\ x_{22}, n\end{array}$ <br> x 3.3$]$ <br> $\lrcorner$ Compressing a string of length $m n(m=3)$

$x$

Each $X_{i, j}$ is in $\{0, \ldots, d\}$
$\lrcorner$ So domain is of size $(d+1)^{\mathrm{mn}} \quad\left((d+1)^{3 n}\right)$
$\lrcorner A \| l$ operations performed in the field $Z_{p} \quad(p \gg d)$

## Performing the Compression (Step 1, Diffiusion)


$\lrcorner$ Step 1: multiply $x_{i, j}$ by wi-1

- (Just a trick to do multiplication modulo $\beta^{n}+1$ )
$-w$ is an element in $\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{*}$ of order $2 n$
Thus, $W^{2}$ is a primitive $n^{\text {th }}$ root of unity in $\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{*}$


## Performing the Compression (Step 2, Diffiusion)


$\lrcorner$ Step 2: Compute the Fast Fourier Transform of each grouping

- Use $w^{2}$ as the primitive $n^{\text {th }}$ root of unity in $\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{*}$
$\lrcorner y_{i, j}=\Sigma_{1 \leq k \leq n}\left(X_{i, j}, W^{j-1}\right) W^{2 j(k-1)}$


## Performing the Compression (Step 3, Confusion)



- Step 3: Multiply $y_{i, j}$ by $a_{i, j}$
- The $a_{i, j}$ are uniformly random in $Z_{p}$
- They are the hash function key


## Performing the Compression (Step 4, Confusion)



Step 4: $z_{j}=\sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} a_{i, j} y_{i, j}$
Output size: $\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{n}}$

## Equivalent Hash Function

- Input: $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}$ in $Z_{p}[\beta] /<\beta^{n}+1>\quad(m=3)$
- Each coefficient of $X_{i}$ is in $\{0, \ldots, d\}$
$\lrcorner$ Hash key: $\mathrm{a}_{1, \ldots, \mathrm{a}_{m}}$ in $Z_{p}[\beta] /<\beta^{n}+1>$
- Output: $z=a_{1} x_{1}+\ldots+a_{m} x_{m}$
$\lrcorner$ This function is completely equivalent security-wise to the one presented and it's much easier to understand.


## Security Guarantee

Input: $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}$ in $Z_{p}[\beta] /<\beta^{n}+1>\quad(m=3)$

- Each coefficient of $X_{i}$ is in $\{0, \ldots, \mathrm{~d}\}$

$\lrcorner$ Output: $z=a_{1} x_{1}+\ldots+\mathrm{a}_{m} x_{m}$
- Theorem [M02,PR06,LM06]:
- For appropriate values of $p, n, \mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~m}$, finding a collision for random $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}$ implies solving the approximate Shortest Vector Problem for all lattices in a certain class.


## The Function in Practice ("Bulk Mode")

$\lrcorner$ Can build a compression function whose security is based on a worst-case problem
I It's efficient, but ... the output is big.
$\lrcorner$ Sample parameters and security:

- Domain: $\approx 65,000$ bits
- Range: $\approx 28,000$ bits

Security: Finding collisions implies approximating Shortest Vector to within factor $\approx 232$ in any 1024 dimensional lattice in a certain class of lattices.

- Could be used to hash large filles, but impractical for other purposes


## Why such a large range?

## Recall the hash function:



- Each coefficient of $x_{i}$ is in $\{0, \ldots, d\}$

Domain is of size $(d+1)^{\mathrm{mn}}(\mathrm{mn} \lg (d+1)$ bits $)$
$\perp$ Hash key: $\mathrm{a}_{1, \ldots, a_{m}}$ in $Z_{\mathrm{p}}[\beta] /<\beta^{n}+1>$

- Output: $z=a_{1} x_{1}+\ldots+\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{m}}$

Range is of size $p^{n}(n \lg (p)$ bits)
In the proof of security, $p$ has to be large

## Making the Range Smaller

$\lrcorner$ Making the range smaller:

- Make p smaller
- Still the same structure as provably secure function
- Lose proof of security, but finding collisions still seems to be hard
$\lrcorner$ By lowering p, can get:
- Domain=1024 bits, Range=513 bits

Finding collisions is equivalent to a certain averagecase (no longer worst-case) lattice problem

## Equivalent Lattice Problem

$\lrcorner$ Let $\mathrm{a}=\left(\mathrm{a}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{a}_{n}\right)$ be a random vector $\left(0 \leq a_{j}<p\right)$. Define Rot(a) as:

## $\operatorname{Rot}(a)$

| $a_{1}$ | $a_{2}$ | $a_{3}$ | $\ldots$ | $a_{n}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $-a_{n}$ | $a_{1}$ | $a_{2}$ | $\ldots$ | $a_{n-1}$ |
| $-a_{n-1}$ | $-a_{n}$ | $a_{1}$ | $\ldots$ | $a_{n-2}$ |
| $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |
| $-a_{2}$ | $-a_{3}$ | $-a_{4}$ | $\cdots$ | $a_{1}$ |

## Equivalent Lattice Problem


$\lrcorner$ Lattice generated by the rows off matrix B

- Problem: find vector in lattice with small inf. norm


## Equivalent Lattice Problem

$\lrcorner$ Hardness of SVP for previous lattice depends on what Rot $\left(g_{j}\right)$ is. If Rot $\left(g_{j}\right)$ is as we defined it, then finding, collisions in the hash function is equivalent to finding a vector in the lattice with inf: norm $\leq d$
$\lrcorner$ Note: If Rot( $g_{i}$ ) is a random matrix, then we get a version of a wellstudied (and believed to be hard) problem

- Great for security ... but we don't know how to make efficient hash function equivalent to the hardness of that problem
$\lrcorner$ To get equivalency to an efficient hash function, Rot $\left(g_{j}\right)$ needs to have some "algebraic structure".


## Algebraic Structure of B

$\lrcorner$ The lattice generated by $B$ has a lot of "algebraic" structure.

- The structure does not seem to be useful for standard lattice algorithms (e.g. LLL)
But other attacks exploiting the structure may be possible (for example, defining Rot(a) slightly dififerently makes the SVP problem very easy).
- But the fact that we have a proof that works for larger values of $p$ gives some evidence that the algebraic structure is not exploitable for smaller p's as well


## Sample Parameters for Hash Function

- Input: $X_{1, \ldots,} X_{m}$ in $Z_{p}[\beta] /<\beta n+1>$
- Each coefficient of $x_{i}$ is in $\{0, \ldots$, d $d\}$
- Hash key: $a_{1,}, \ldots, a_{m}$ in $Z_{p}[\beta] /<\beta^{n}+1>$

Output: $z=a_{1} x_{1}+\ldots+a_{m} x_{m}$
$n=64, m=8, d=3, p=257$

- Domain $=1024$ bits, Range $=513$ bits

Takes $\approx 15$ times longer than SHA-256 (we're in the initial stages of implementation)

## Conclusion

- Presented an approach for using FFT to construct efficient, provably colilision-resistant hash functions.
$\lrcorner$ Using this approach:
$\triangle$ Constructed an efficient hash function, which may be useful for hashing large filles, whose security is based on a worst-case problem.
- Constructed an efficient hash function whose security is based on an average-case lattice problem.


## Comments on Probably Secure Hash Functions

LASH-k (from this workshop)
$\square k=$ output length (e.g. $k=160,256,384,512)$

- We can break compression function for e.g. $k=232,368,1056,2096,10248$,....
- "Lunch-time" attack ... Iiterally

